arXiv:1603.00763v2 [math.NT] 19 Sep 2016

AN ALGORITHM FOR COMPUTING THE REDUCTION OF 2-DIMENSIONAL CRYSTALLINE REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathbf{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$

SANDRA ROZENSZTAJN

Abstract. We describe an algorithm to compute the reduction modulo p of a crystalline Galois representation of dimension 2 of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with distinct Hodge-Tate weights via the semi-simple modulo p Langlands correspondence. We give some examples computed with an implementation of this algorithm in SAGE.

Contents

1. Introduction and notation 1
2. Irreducible representations of G in characteristic p 2
3. Modulo p and p-adic Langlands correspondence 4
4. Computing the reduction from a set of relations 6
5. Description of the filtration on $\mathrm{Symm}^{k-2} E^2$ 8
6. Elementary divisors and reduction 9
7. Computing relations 12
8. Summary of the algorithm 15
9. Local constancy results 15
10. Examples 20
References 23

1. Introduction and notation

Let V be an irreducible crystalline representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, with distinct Hodge-Tate weights. Our goal is to give an algorithm to compute the semi-simplification $\overline{V}^{ss}$ of the reduction modulo p of V. There are several ways to approach this problem. The first is to use global methods, using congruences between modular forms. This method has already been used extensively by Savitt-Stein and Buzzard, see for example [11, Paragraph 6.2]. Another way is to use local methods coming from p-adic Hodge theory. One such algorithm is described in [5] and uses (ϕ, Γ) modules, another one is described in [14] and makes use of Breuil-Kisin modules. Both these methods have the drawback that they require to work with complicated objects, and they have not yet been implemented.

The algorithm we describe requires only to do linear algebra in simple rings such as $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. For this we use the modulo p Langlands correspondence, and its compatibility with the p-adic Langlands correspondence. The idea of using this correspondence as a tool to compute reduction modulo p of Galois representations appears first in [12], and has since been used several times (see [13, 16, 8, 9, 1]) to do computations in small slope. The correspondence can in fact be used to compute the reduction in any slope. We describe the general method, and how it can be implemented on a computer.

The crystalline representation V we are interested in can be described (up to twist by a crystalline character) by an integer $k \geq 2$, which is such that V has Hodge-Tate weights 0 and $k-1$, and an element a_p of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ with positive valuation. We denote by V_{k,a_p} this irreducible crystalline representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. By the modulo p Langlands correspondence, the reduction $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ we are looking for is determined by a smooth representation $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and we can give an explicit formula for $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$ in terms of k and a_p. So the problem is to understand $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ from this formula. We explain how this problem can be reduced to linear algebra, and more precisely to a finite number of questions about some explicit vectors belonging to some explicit subspace of a fixed

vector space. This is the object of Sections 4 and 5, after a few reminders on the p-adic and modulo p Langlands correspondences in Sections 2 and 3.

One difficulty is that the representation $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$ is of infinite dimension, so we have to understand how to compute it from finite-dimensional data. This gives rise to the main limitation of this algorithm, which is that only very small values of the prime p, and not too large values of the weight k, allow for manageable dimensions for the vector spaces we manipulate. This still allows however for the computation of non-trivial examples. We give some examples computed thanks to an implementation of the algorithm using SAGE ([15]) in Section 10.

We show moreover that the result of the computations of the algorithm give additional information about the reduction. Indeed, we know that $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is locally constant with respect to a_p ([5] and [7]), and with respect to the weight k when $a_p \neq 0$ ([5]). The output of the computation gives us a radius of constancy with respect to a_p, and, under an additional condition that is often satisfied in the examples, with respect to the weight when $a_p \neq 0$.

Theorem. *Suppose that the algorithm described has allowed us to compute $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ by using denominators of valuation at most δ. Then:*

1. *For all a'_p such that $v_p(a_p - a'_p) > \delta$, $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ and $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a'_p}$ are isomorphic.*
2. *Let c be the smallest integer strictly larger than $v_p(a_p) + \delta$. If $c \leq (k-2)/(p+1)$, then for all $k' > k$ such that $k' \equiv k \mod (p-1)p^{1+\lfloor \delta \rfloor + \lfloor \log_p(c) \rfloor}$, $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ and $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k',a_p}$ are isomorphic.*

This result is the object of Section 9, the first part being much easier than the second one. In the case of the local constancy with respect to a_p, bounds were already known thanks to the results of [5] and [7], and our examples show that these bounds are generally not optimal. In the case of local constancy with respect to the weight, the result of [5] was not effective at all, so this is the first time we have some effective results in this direction except for the values of a_p for which $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ has been computed for all weights k.

1.1. **Notation.** Denote by G the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, Z its center, and K the subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

We write $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ for the group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let a_p be in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ with positive valuation, and $k \geq 2$ be an integer. We denote by V_{k,a_p} be the irreducible crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of dimension 2 with Hodge-Tate weights $\{0, k-1\}$ and such that the trace of ϕ on the associated filtered ϕ-module is a_p.

Let ω be the mod p cyclotomic character, or the character of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ corresponding to it via local class field theory. For any $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$, let $\mathrm{unr}(\lambda)$ be the unramified character of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ such that $\mathrm{unr}(\lambda)(p) = \lambda$. We also denote by ω_2 a Serre fundamental character of level 2 on the inertia subgroup.

Let v be the valuation on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ normalized by $v(p) = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, with ring of integers R and residue field E. When we have fixed a value of a_p, we will assume that $\mathcal{K}$ contains a_p.

Let A by any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra. For any non-negative integer s, let $\mathrm{Symm}^s A^2$ be the representation of K coming from the standard action of K on A^2. For any representation V of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, let $V(i) = V \otimes \det^i$. For $a \geq 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$, let $\sigma_a(b) = \mathrm{Symm}^a \mathbb{F}_p^2 \otimes \det^b$. Then the $\sigma_a(b)$ for $0 \leq a \leq p-1$ are exactly the irreducible representations of K with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$, and any irreducible representation of K on a field of characteristic p comes from these representations by base change. We will also denote by $\sigma_a(b)$ the base change of this representation to another field of characteristic p, or $\sigma_a(b)_E$ if it is necessary to indicate the field E.

If n is an integer, $[n]$ denotes the unique integer in $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ that is congruent to n modulo $p-1$.

2. Irreducible representations of G in characteristic p

2.1. **The tree.** Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the tree of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Its vertices are indexed by the homothety classes of lattices in $\mathbb{Q}_p^2$, and there is an edge between $[L]$ and $[L']$ if and only if there are representatives with $L' \subset L$ and $[L : L'] = p$. The vertices are also indexed by G/KZ, and G acts on the tree by left translation.

We give a system of representatives of G/KZ. Let $I_0 = \{0\}$, $I_1 = \{0\} \cup \mu_{p-1}$, and for any $n \geq 1$, $I_n = \{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i p^i, \lambda_i \in I_1\}$. Then I_n has cardinality p^n.

If $\mu \in I_n$, we let $g^0_{n,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} p^n & \mu \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $g^1_{n,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p\mu & p^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then the set of the $g^\varepsilon_{n,\mu}$ for $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$, $n \geq 0$, $\mu \in I_n$ form a system of representatives of G/KZ and so is naturally in bijection with the set of vertices of $\mathcal{T}$.

Moreover, $g^0_{n,\mu}$ is at distance n from $1 = g^0_{0,0}$, and $g^1_{n,\mu}$ is at distance $n+1$ from 1. We denote by C_n the circle of radius n with center in 1, and B_n the ball of radius n.

2.2. **Compact induction.** Let (ρ, V) be a finite-dimensional representation of KZ with coefficients in some ring. We denote by $I(V)$ the compact induction $\mathrm{ind}_{KZ}^G V$. We denote by $[g,v]$, $g \in G$ and $v \in V$ elements of this module, where $[g,v]$ is the function that sends γ to $\rho(\gamma g)v$ if $\gamma g \in KZ$, and sends γ to 0 if $\gamma g \notin KZ$. The action of G on these elements is given by $\gamma[g,v] = [\gamma g, v]$, and moreover for all $\kappa \in KZ$ we have that $[g\kappa, v] = [g, \rho(\kappa)v]$.

Let X be a subset of the set of vertices of $\mathcal{T}$. We say that $f \in I(V)$ has support in X if it can be written as a (finite) sum $\sum [g_i, v_i]$ with the class of g_i in X.

Let V be a representation of K of the form $\sigma_r(s)$. We let $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ act trivially on V, so that it becomes a representation of KZ. There is a G-equivariant Hecke operator T acting on $I(V)$, defined in [2] (see Paragraph 7.1 for an explicit description of this operator).

2.3. **Irreducible representations of G over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.** In this Paragraph all representations have coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

If r is an integer in $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$, χ a smooth character of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, and $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$, we denote by $\pi(r, \lambda, \chi)$ the representation $(I(\sigma_r)/(T-\lambda)) \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$. Note that $I(\sigma_a(b))/(T-\lambda) = \pi(a, \lambda, \omega^b)$.

We can make the list of irreducible smooth admissible representations of G with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, following [2], [3] and [10]. The irreducible representations are:

(1) $\pi(r, 0, \chi)$
(2) $\pi(r, \lambda, \chi)$ for $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ and $(r, \lambda) \notin \{(0, \pm 1), (p-1, \pm 1)\}$.
(3) $\mathrm{St} \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$
(4) $\chi \circ \det$

Moreover, $\pi(r, 0, \chi) = \pi(r, 0, \chi\,\mathrm{unr}(-1)) = \pi(p-1-r, 0, \chi\omega^r)$, $\pi(0, \lambda, \chi) = \pi(p-1, \lambda, \chi)$; for any $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, $\pi(r, \lambda, \chi) = \pi(r, -\lambda, \chi\,\mathrm{unr}(-1))$; and $\pi(0, \lambda, \chi)^{ss} = \pi(p-1, \lambda, \chi)^{ss} = \mathrm{St} \otimes (\chi\,\mathrm{unr}(\lambda) \circ \det) \oplus (\chi\,\mathrm{unr}(\lambda) \circ \det)$ if $\lambda = \pm 1$. There are no other isomorphims between the irreducible representations than the ones coming from the previous list. In particular, any irreducible representation is a quotient of an $I(\sigma_r) \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$ for some r and χ, and r and χ are almost entirely determined by the quotient itself.

We recall the following result:

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let Q be a finite length quotient of $I(\sigma_a(b))$. Then the Jordan-Hoelder factors of Q are of the form $\pi(a, \lambda, \omega^b)$ for some $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ if $a \notin \{0, p-1\}$. If $a \in \{0, p-1\}$, the Jordan-Hoelder factors of Q are of the form $\pi(a, \lambda, \omega^b)$ for $\lambda \notin \{\pm 1\}$, or $\mathrm{St} \otimes \left(\omega^b\,\mathrm{unr}(\pm 1) \circ \det\right)$ or $\left(\omega^b\,\mathrm{unr}(\pm 1) \circ \det\right)$.*

Here is a slightly more precise statement, which follows immediatly the results of [2, 3, 10] although it is not stated explicitely there:

Proposition 2.3.2. *Let Q be an irreducible representation of G, and π a nonzero map $I(\sigma_a(b)) \to Q$. Then there exists a unique λ such that π is zero on $(T-\lambda)I(\sigma_a(b))$, and then Q is isomorphic to $\pi(a, \lambda, \omega^b)$ if $(a, \lambda) \notin \{(0, \pm 1), (p-1, \pm 1)\}$, or Q is isomorphic to $\mathrm{St} \otimes \left(\omega^b\,\mathrm{unr}(\lambda) \circ \det\right)$ if $a = p-1$ and $\lambda = \pm 1$, or Q is isomorphic to $\left(\omega^b\,\mathrm{unr}(\lambda) \circ \det\right)$ if $a = 0$ and $\lambda = \pm 1$.*

The point is any non-zero map $I(\sigma_a(b)) \to Q$ where Q is irreducible is (a scalar multiple of) the obvious map, and not something else.

Proof of Proposition 2.3.2. By Frobenius reciprocity we have:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(I(\sigma_a(b)), Q) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma_a(b), Q|_K) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma_a(b), \mathrm{soc}_K(Q))$$

But we know that for any irreducible Q, every representation that appears in $\mathrm{soc}_K(Q)$ appears with multiplicity one (this is a standard computation for the principal series case, and [10, Théorème 3.2.4] for the supersingular case). So the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_G(I(\sigma_a(b)), Q)$ is at most one. □

2.4. **Definition over a subfield.** Let E be a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$. It follows from the definition of $\pi(r,\lambda,1)$ in [2] that:

Proposition 2.4.1. *The representation $\pi(r,\lambda,1)$ has a model over E if and only if $\lambda \in E$.*

3. Modulo p and p-adic Langlands correspondence

3.1. **The correspondence modulo p.** The semi-simple modulo p Langlands correspondence, defined in [10], relates semi-simple representations of dimension 2 of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with some finite length smooth admissible semi-simple representations of G over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Note that semi-simple representations of dimension 2 of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are of two kinds: either they are reducible, or they are isomorphic to some $(\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^a)\otimes\chi$ with $1 \leq a \leq p$, where $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^a$ is the unique representation with determinant ω^a and restriction to inertia isomorphic to $\omega_2^a \oplus \omega_2^{pa}$.

More precisely, if V is a representation of dimension 2 of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, we denote by $\Pi(V)$ the attached representation of G:

Theorem 3.1.1. *The semi-simple modulo p Langlands correspondence is as follows:*

- *If $V = (\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{r+1})\otimes\chi$ for $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ then $\Pi(V) = \pi(r,0,\chi)$.*
- *If $V = (\operatorname{unr}(\lambda)\omega^{r+1} \oplus \operatorname{unr}(\lambda^{-1}))\otimes\chi$ for $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ then*

$$\Pi(V) = \pi(r,\lambda,\chi)^{ss} \oplus \pi([p-3-r],\lambda^{-1},\omega^{r+1}\chi)^{ss}$$

3.2. **Rationality questions.** In fact, the semi-simple modulo p Langlands correspondences attaches representation of G with coefficients in E to representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ defined over E, but their irreducible components are not necessarily defined over E. In order to do explicit computations, we need to determine over which field to work.

Let $\overline{\rho}$ a 2-dimensional semi-simple representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, with determinant equal to a power of the cyclotomic character ω. If $\overline{\rho}$ is irreducible, it as a model over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Otherwise, it is isomorphic to some $\omega^a \operatorname{unr}(\lambda) \oplus \omega^b \operatorname{unr}(\lambda^{-1})$.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^{\times}$. Suppose that $\omega^a \operatorname{unr}(\lambda) \oplus \omega^b \operatorname{unr}(\lambda^{-1})$ has a model over E. Then $\lambda + \lambda^{-1} \in E$. If $a \not\equiv b$ modulo $p-1$, then $\lambda \in E$.*

Proof. Consider the traces of the image by $\overline{\rho}$ of some elements of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. □

The analogue of Proposition 3.2.1 on the side of representations of G is:

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^{\times}$, and χ a power of ω. Suppose that $\pi(r,\lambda,\chi)^{ss} \oplus \pi([p-3-r],\lambda^{-1},\omega^{r+1}\chi)^{ss}$ is defined over E. Then $\lambda + \lambda^{-1} \in E$.*

If $p > 2$ and $r \neq p-2$, then $\lambda \in E$, and in particular each Jordan-Hoelder factor of $\pi(r,\lambda,\chi)^{ss} \oplus \pi([p-3-r],\lambda^{-1},\omega^{r+1}\chi)^{ss}$ is defined over E.

Moreover, if $\lambda \notin E$ then $\pi(r,\lambda,\chi) \oplus \pi([p-3-r],\lambda^{-1},\omega^{r+1}\chi)$ is irreducible over E.

Proof. We can deduce the first two parts from Proposition 3.2.1 via the semi-simple Langlands correspondence modulo p (or we could prove it directly).

Suppose now that $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, so that $\pi(r,\lambda,\chi)$ and $\pi([p-3-r],\lambda^{-1},\omega^{r+1}\chi)$ are both irreducible. Suppose that $\pi(r,\lambda,\chi)\oplus\pi([p-3-r],\lambda^{-1},\omega^{r+1}\chi)$ is reducible over E, then $\pi(r,\lambda,\chi)$ and $\pi([p-3-r],\lambda^{-1},\omega^{r+1}\chi)$ are both defined over E, so by Proposition 2.4.1 we have that $\lambda \in E$. □

3.3. **Jordan-Hoelder factors.** If Π is a finite length representation of G defined over E, we denote by $\mathrm{JH}(\Pi)$ the set of the irreducible representations that appear as its Jordan-Hoelder factors (taking into account multiplicities) as a representation with coefficients in E.

Let $\mathcal{JH}$ be the set of sets that can appear as $\mathrm{JH}(\Pi(V))$ for some 2-dimensional Galois representation V defined over E such that $\det V$ is a power of the cyclotomic character. We can describe $\mathcal{JH}$ explicitly using Proposition 3.1.1 and Proposition 3.2.2:

Proposition 3.3.1. *In what follows, χ always denotes a power of the cyclomotic character. $\mathcal{JH}$ contains exactly the following sets:*

1. *The singleton $\{\pi(r,0,\chi)\}$ for any r and any χ*
2. *The set $\{\pi(r,\lambda,\chi),\pi([p-3-r],\lambda^{-1},\chi\omega^{r+1})\}$ for any χ, any $\lambda \in E^{\times}$ and any r such that either r is different from 0, $p-3$, $p-1$ or $\lambda \notin \{\pm 1\}$.*

(3) *The singleton* $\{\pi(p-2,\lambda,\chi)\oplus\pi(p-2,\lambda^{-1},\chi)\}$ *for any* χ*, and any* $\lambda\in\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p\setminus E$ *such that* $\lambda+\lambda^{-1}\in E$.
(4) *For* $p>3$ *and* $\lambda=\pm1$ *and any* χ*, the set* $\{\mathrm{St}\otimes(\mathrm{unr}(\lambda)\chi\circ\det),\ (\mathrm{unr}(\lambda)\chi\circ\det),\ \pi(p-3,\lambda^{-1},\chi\omega)\}$.
(5) *For* $p=3$ *and* $\lambda=\pm1$ *and any* χ*, the set* $\{\mathrm{St}\otimes(\mathrm{unr}(\lambda)\chi\circ\det),\ (\mathrm{unr}(\lambda)\chi\circ\det),\ \mathrm{St}\otimes(\mathrm{unr}(\lambda)\chi\omega\circ\det),\ (\mathrm{unr}(\lambda)\chi\omega\circ\det)\}$.
(6) *For* $p=2$ *and any* χ*, the set* $\{\mathrm{St}\otimes(\chi\circ\det)$ *twice*$,(\chi\circ\det)$ *twice*$\}$

Note that in case (2) the set can be twice the same representation, when $r=p-2$ and $\lambda=\pm1$. Except in this case and case (6), the sets are in fact made of distinct representations.

We note that that two distinct elements of $\mathcal{JH}$ are disjoint sets. The important consequence is:

Proposition 3.3.2. $\mathrm{JH}(\Pi(V))$ *is uniquely determined by any of the Jordan-Hoelder factors that is contains.*

We can also extract from Proposition 3.3.1 the following remarks:

Fact 3.3.3. (1) For any (r,λ,χ) there exists a unique $S\in\mathcal{JH}$ containing one Jordan-Hoelder factor of $\pi(r,\lambda,\chi)$, and then it contains all of them.
(2) For any $\mu\in E$, all the Jordan-Hoelder factors of $I(\sigma_{p-2}(b)_E)/(T^2-\mu T+1)$ are in the same $S\in\mathcal{JH}$.
(3) If $p=2$ and any $\mu\in E$, all the Jordan-Hoelder factors of $I(\sigma_{1,E})/(T^2-\mu T+1)$ are in the same $S\in\mathcal{JH}$.

3.4. **The p-adic correspondence in the crystalline case.**

3.4.1. *The correspondence.* The p-adic Langlands correspondence attaches to a representation V of dimension 2 of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over some finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ a unitary Banach representation of G over the same field. In the case where the Galois representation is irreducible and crystalline with distinct Hodge-Tate weights, this unitary Banach representation has an explicit description, as was conjectured in [11] and proved in [6]. Let V_{k,a_p} be such a crystalline representation. We denote $\Pi(V_{k,a_p})$ by Π_{k,a_p}. We also denote by $\overline{\Pi}_{k,a_p}$ the semi-simplification of the reduction modulo p with respect to any G-invariant, finite-type lattice in Π_{k,a_p}

Moreover, this correspondence is compatible with reduction modulo p by [4]. This means that $\overline{\Pi}_{k,a_p}$ is the semi-simple representation of G attached to $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ by the semi-simple Langlands correspondence modulo p. So the computation of $\overline{\Pi}_{k,a_p}$ is equivalent to the computation of $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$.

3.4.2. *Description of* Π_{k,a_p}. We can describe Π_{k,a_p} as follows. Let $r=k-2$.

We take here $\mathcal{K}$ any finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ containing a_p, with ring of integers R and residue field E, so that the Galois representation V_{k,a_p} has a model over $\mathcal{K}$.

We recall the following result:

Proposition 3.4.1. *The representation* $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)/(T-a_p)I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)$ *of* G *has a natural* G*-invariant lattice which is the image* Θ_{k,a_p} *of* $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$*. Then* Π_{k,a_p} *is the completion of* $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)/(T-a_p)I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)$ *with respect to this lattice.*

Remark 3.4.2. A lattice in a locally algebraic representation V of G over $\mathcal{K}$ is a sub-$R[G]$-module of V that generates V over $\mathcal{K}$, and that does not contain a $\mathcal{K}$-line. Only the last condition is not trivially verified for Θ_{k,a_p}.

We sketch a proof of Proposition 3.4.1, as we could not find a reference that explains what happens in the case of $a_p\in\{\pm(1+p)p^{k/2-1}\}$.

Proof. This is essentially the main result of [6]. The authors construct a locally algebraic representation π_{k,a_p} of G over $\mathcal{K}$ (which is denoted by $\pi(\alpha)$ in [6]) and show that there exists a unique commensurability class of lattices in π_{k,a_p} ([6, Corollaire 5.3.4]), and then Π_{k,a_p} is the completion of π_{k,a_p} with respect to any lattice of this class.

The fact that π_{k,a_p} is isomorphic to $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)/(T-a_p)I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)$ is the consequence of [11, Proposition 3.2.1]. It follows directly from the proposition when $a_p\notin\{\pm(1+p)p^{k/2-1}\}$. When $a_p\in\{\pm(1+p)p^{k/2-1}\}$, then we notice that both π_{k,a_p} and $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)/(T-a_p)I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)$ are of the form

$\mathrm{Symm}^{k-2}\mathcal{K}^2 \otimes \left(\mathrm{unr}_{(p+1)/a_p} \circ \det\right) \otimes W$ where W is a smooth representation of G which is a non-split extension of the trivial representation by the Steinberg representation. By the results of [19] there is only one isomorphism class of such representations.

Finally, we need to see that Θ_{k,a_p} is a lattice. This is proved directly in [11] for small values of k. In general this a consequence of [6, Corollaire 5.3.4]: it is enough to show that Θ_{k,a_p} does not contain a $\mathcal{K}$-line, so it is enough to see that it is contained in a lattice, but as Θ_{k,a_p} is of the form $R[G]x$ for an $x \in \pi_{k,a_p}$, this is true as soon as we know that there exists at least one lattice in π_{k,a_p}. □

Corollary 3.4.3. *$\overline{\Pi}_{k,a_p}$ is equal to $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$.*

3.4.3. *Notation.* Let $\mathcal{M}_{k,a_p}$ be the submodule $(T-a_p)I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)\cap I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$ of $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r\mathcal{K}^2)$. It is G-invariant and is a free submodule of $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$, and $\Theta_{k,a_p} = I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)/\mathcal{M}_{k,a_p}$. We denote by M_{k,a_p} the image in $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r E^2)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{k,a_p}$ so that $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p} = I(\mathrm{Symm}^r E^2)/M_{k,a_p}$.

3.5. Identifying $\overline{V}_{k,a_p}$ from Jordan-Hoelder factors of $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$.

Proposition 3.5.1. *Let V be a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of dimension 2. Let $\overline{\Pi}(V)$ be the reduction modulo p of $\Pi(V)$ with respect to some G-invariant lattice. Suppose that we have a surjection $\Pi' \to \overline{\Pi}(V)$ where Π' is a finite length representation of G over E, and suppose that there exists a unique $S \in \mathcal{JH}$ such that $S \subset \mathrm{JH}(\Pi')$. Then $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Pi}(V)) = S$.*

In the situation that interests us, $\Pi(V) = \overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p} = I(\sigma_{k-2,E})/M_{k,a_p}$, and we will try to find a subspace M' of M_{k,a_p} with Π' the quotient of $I(\sigma_{k-2,E})$ by the subspace generated by M'.

4. Computing the reduction from a set of relations

In this Section we write $\overline{\Theta}$ for $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$ and $r = k-2$. We will explain how to obtain information on $\overline{\Theta}$ as a representation of G, and more precisely about $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta})$, by doing only linear algebra computations using subspaces of finite dimension of M_{k,a_p}. We denote by E a finite field containing the residue field of $\mathbb{Q}_p(a_p)$.

4.1. Use of a filtration.

Suppose that we are given a decreasing filtration (V_i) of $\sigma_{r,E}$, with $V_0 = \sigma_{r,E}$ and such that $V_i/V_{i+1} = J_i$ is an irreducible representation of K. Then J_i is isomorphic to $\sigma_{a_i}(b_i)_E$ for some $a_i \in \{0\dots,p-1\}$ and some $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$. We describe such a filtration in Section 5.

Let $\Lambda = I(\sigma_{r,E})$. Then we have a filtration (Λ_i) of sub-G-representations by setting $\Lambda_i = I(V_i)$. Let $Q_i = \Lambda_i/\Lambda_{i+1} = I(J_i)$. It is endowed with a Hecke operator T_i as in Paragraph 2.2.

From the filtration (Λ_i) we get a filtration $(\pi(\Lambda_i))$ on $\overline{\Theta}$, and we let $F_i = \pi(\Lambda_i)/\pi(\Lambda_{i+1})$, so that F_i is a quotient of Q_i. Let π_i be the map $Q_i \to F_i$. Note that each F_i is defined over E.

Theorem 4.1.1. *For each i, at least one of the following is true:*

1. $F_i = 0$.
2. $\pi_i((T_i-\lambda)Q_i) = 0$ *for some* $\lambda \in E$.
3. $\pi_i((T_i^2-\mu T_i+1)Q_i) = 0$ *for some* $\mu \in E$.

Moreover, we can be in case (3) but not (2) only for $a_i = p-2$, or $p = 2$.

Proof. Each F_i is of finite length, and $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta}) = \cup_i \mathrm{JH}(F_i)$.

From Proposition 3.3.1, we see that if $p > 2$, each F_i is of length at most 2. If $p = 2$ then F_i is of length at most 4.

Suppose first that F_i is irreducible. Then it is a quotient of $Q_i/(T_i-\lambda)Q_i$ for some $\lambda \in E$, by Proposition 2.3.2. So we are in case (2).

Suppose now that F_i has length at least 2. It can happen only in the cases where a_i is 0, $p-1$ or $p-2$. We treat these cases in the following lemmas, and we see that: either $p > 2$ and $a_i = 0$ or $p-1$, and then we are in case (2), or $a_i = p-2$ and we are in case (3), or $p = 2$, and then we are in case (2) or (3) depending on the length of F_i.

As each F_i is defined over E, then by Proposition 2.4.1, if we are in case (2) then $\lambda \in E$. If we are in case (3) but not (2), then $\mu \in E$. In particular, if the set of Jordan-Hoelder factors is as in (3) of Proposition 3.3.1, then there exists only one i such that $F_i \neq 0$ and it is in the case (3) but not (2). □

Lemma 4.1.2. *Suppose $p > 2$. Let F be a quotient of $I(\sigma_{p-2})$ and $\mathrm{JH}(F) = \{\pi(p-2,\lambda,1), \pi(p-2,\lambda^{-1},1)\}$. Then the given map $I(\sigma_{p-2}) \to F$ is zero on $(T^2-(\lambda+\lambda^{-1})T+1)I(\sigma_{p-2})$ and induces an isomorphism from $I(\sigma_{p-2})/(T^2-(\lambda+\lambda^{-1})T+1)$ to F.*

Proof. Suppose we have a surjective map $\pi : F \to \pi(p-2,\lambda,1)$, and let α be the given surjection $I(\sigma_{p-2}) \to F$. Then $\pi \circ \alpha$ is zero on $(T-\lambda)I(\sigma_{p-2})$ by Proposition 2.3.2. Let $\beta = \alpha \circ (T-\lambda)$. Then $\operatorname{im}\beta \subset \ker\pi$, and in fact $\operatorname{im}\beta = \ker\pi$ otherwise $\beta = 0$ and $F = I(\sigma_{p-2})/(T-\lambda)$. So $\operatorname{im}\beta$ is isomorphic to $\pi(p-2,\lambda^{-1},1)$, and β is zero on $(T-\lambda)^{-1}I(\sigma_{p-2})$. So α is zero on $(T^2-(\lambda+\lambda^{-1})T+1)I(\sigma_{p-2})$, and F is a quotient of $I(\sigma_{p-2})/(T^2-(\lambda+\lambda^{-1})T+1)$, and so is equal to it. □

Lemma 4.1.3. *Suppose $p = 2$, $i = 0$ or 1 and F is a quotient of $I(\sigma_i)$ with $\mathrm{JH}(F) \subset \{1, 1, \mathrm{St}, \mathrm{St}\}$. Then the map $\alpha : I(\sigma_i) \to F$ is zero on $(T^2+1)I(\sigma_i)$.*

Proof. Suppose $i = 0$. Only St can be a quotient of $I(\sigma_0)$, so there exists a surjective map $\pi : F \to \mathrm{St}$. Then by Proposition 2.3.2, $\pi \circ \alpha$ is zero on $(T-1)I(\sigma_0)$. Let $\beta = \alpha \circ (T-1)$. Let $F' = \operatorname{im}\beta$, then $F' \subset \ker\pi$ so $\mathrm{JH}(F') \subset \{1, 1, \mathrm{St}\}$. As before, there exists a surjection $\pi' : F' \to \mathrm{St}$ and so a surjection $\pi' \circ \beta : I(\sigma_0) \to \mathrm{St}$, so $\pi' \circ \beta$ is zero on $(T-1)I(\sigma_0)$. Let $\gamma = \beta \circ (T-1)$, then $\operatorname{im}\gamma \subset \ker\pi'$, so $\mathrm{JH}(\operatorname{im}\gamma) \subset \{1, 1\}$, and so in fact $\gamma = 0$. So $\alpha \circ (T-1)^2$ is zero.

For $i = 1$ the proof is the same but with the role of 1 and St reversed. □

We state the following lemmas without proof, as their proofs are very similar to the previous ones:

Lemma 4.1.4. *Let F be a quotient of $I(\sigma_0)$ and $\mathrm{JH}(F) = \{\mathrm{St}, 1\}$. Then F is the quotient of $I(\sigma_0)$ by $(T-1)$.*

Lemma 4.1.5. *Let F be a quotient of $I(\sigma_{p-1})$ and $\mathrm{JH}(F) = \{\mathrm{St}, 1\}$. Then F is the quotient of $I(\sigma_{p-1})$ by $(T-1)$.*

Lemma 4.1.6. *Suppose $p = 2$, $i = 0$ or 1 and F is a quotient of $I(\sigma_i)$ with $\mathrm{JH}(F) = \{\pi(0,\lambda,1), \pi(0,\lambda^{-1},1)\}$ for some $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$. Then F is the quotient of $I(\sigma_i)$ by $(T^2-(\lambda+\lambda^{-1})T+1)I(\sigma_i)$.*

4.2. **Computing $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta})$.** Suppose now that in addition to the filtration, we are given for each i an element $v_i \in \Lambda_i$ such that the image of v_i in Q_i generates it as a G-representation, and elements $w_{1,i}$ and $w_{2,i}$ in Λ_i whose image in Q_i is equal to $T_i v_i$ and $T_i^2 v_i$ respectively.

Suppose moreovoer that we are given a subspace M' of M_{k,a_p}. Then we can answer the following questions:

(1) is v_i in $M' + \Lambda_{i+1}$?
(2) is $w_{1,i} - \lambda v_i$ in $M' + \Lambda_{i+1}$ for some $\lambda \in E$?
(3) is $w_{2,i} - \mu w_{1,i} + 1$ in $M' + \Lambda_{i+1}$ for some $\mu \in E$?

If the answer to the first question is positive, then $F_i = 0$. If the answer to the second question is positive, then F_i is a quotient of $Q_i/(T_i - \lambda)Q_i$ for the given λ. If the answer to the third question is positive, then F_i is a quotient of $Q_i/(T_i^2 - \mu T_i + 1)Q_i$ for the given μ.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let M' be a finite-dimensional subspace of M_{k,a_p}. Suppose that: for each i, we can answer positively to one of the three questions above, and moreover, the Jordan-Hoelder factors of the representations $Q_i/(T-\lambda)Q_i$ or $Q_i/(T^2-\mu T+1)Q_i$ that appear are all in the same $S \in \mathcal{JH}$. Then M' contains enough information to compute $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta})$.*

Proof. This is a reformulation of Proposition 3.5.1, as $\overline{\Theta}$ is a quotient of $I(\sigma_{r,E})$ by $E[G]M'$. □

Corollary 4.2.2. *There exists a finite-dimensional subspace M' of M_{k,a_p} that contains enough information to determine the value of $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta})$.*

Remark 4.2.3. This does not mean that for this M' we know exactly which F_i contributes which Jordan-Hoelder factor of $\overline{\Theta}$. For example in the case where $Q_i/(T_i - \lambda)Q_i$ is reducible, we are not able to say using only this information if F_i is equal to all of $Q_i/(T_i - \lambda)Q_i$ or if it is a strict quotient of it. However this is not necessary to know this to determine $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta})$.

5. Description of the filtration on $\mathrm{Symm}^{k-2} E^2$

We describe an explicit filtration on $\mathrm{Symm}^r E^2$ that can be used in the computations of the previous section, which has interesting properties with respect to these computations. We will call this filtration the standard filtration.

We identify $\mathrm{Symm}^r E^2$ with the space $E[X,Y]_r$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree r with coefficients in E. Let $\theta = X^pY - XY^p$.

Let $m = \lfloor r/(p+1) \rfloor$. If $u < m$, we set $\eta_{u,v} = \theta^u X^v Y^{r-u(p+1)-v}$ if $0 \leq v \leq p-1$, and $\eta_{u,p} = \theta^u X^{r-u(p+1)}$. If $0 \leq v \leq r - m(p+1)$ we set $\eta_{m,v} = \theta^m X^v Y^{r-m(p+1)-v}$.

5.1. Start of the filtration.

5.1.1. *Subspace generated by θ.* Let $V_0 = E[X,Y]_r$.

We see easily that for all $g \in K$, we have $g \cdot \theta = \det(g)\theta$. So the subspace of V_0 generated by θ is invariant under the action of K, we call it V_2.

A basis of V_0/V_2 is given by the classes of X^r, Y^r and the elements X^iY^{r-i} for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, as $X^iY^{r-i} = X^{p-1+i}Y^{r-i-p+1} \bmod \theta$. That is, a basis of V_0/V_2 is given by the $\eta_{0,v}$ for $0 \leq v \leq p$ (or $v \leq r$ if $r \leq p$).

5.1.2. *Refining the filtration.* Let V_1 the subspace of V_0 generated by V_2 and X^r. Let $J_0 = V_0/V_1$ and $J_1 = V_1/V_2$. Then J_1 is generated by the elements $(uX + vY)^r$ for $(u : v) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Another generating set is also given by X^r, Y^r and the elements $\mathfrak{S}_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-2$, where $\mathfrak{S}_i = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{r}{j(p-1)+i} X^{j(p-1)+i} Y^{r-j(p-1)-i}$ (some of these sums can be zero).

Lemma 5.1.1. *Let $0 \leq i \leq p-2$. Then $\mathfrak{S}_{i,r} := \sum_j \binom{r}{j(p-1)+i}$ is equal to $\binom{[r]}{i}$ modulo p, except in the case $[r] = p-1$ and $i = 0$ where the sum equals 2 modulo p.*

Proof. Let $f(X) = X^{-i}(1+X)^r = \sum_{j \geq i} \binom{r}{j} X^{j-i}$. We have

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} f(x) = -\sum_{j=i \bmod p-1} \binom{r}{j} = -\sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{r}{j(p-1)+i} = -\mathfrak{S}_{i,r}$$

Moreover the value of $f(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ depends only on r modulo $p-1$, that is $[r]$. So we only need to compute the sum when $r = [r]$, and then there is either only one term in the sum, or two terms when $[r] = p-1$ and $i = 0$. □

Corollary 5.1.2. *J_1 is of dimension $[r]+1$, and a basis of J_1 is given by the elements $\eta_{0,v}$ for $0 \leq v < [r]$ and $v = p$. A basis of J_0 is given by the elements $\eta_{0,v}$ for $[r] \leq v \leq p-1$.*

Proof. Modulo V_2, $X^{j(p-1)+i}Y^{r-j(p-1)+i}$ and $X^{j'(p-1)+i}Y^{r-j'(p-1)+i}$ are equal, except when one of these terms is either X^r or Y^r. So Lemma 5.1.1 allows us to compute $\mathfrak{S}_i$ modulo V_2, which gives the results for J_1. □

Proposition 5.1.3. *The representation J_1 is isomorphic to $\sigma_{[r]}$, and J_0 to $\sigma_{p-1-[r]}([r])$. This extension is split if and only if $[r] = p-1$.*

Proof. It is well known that V_0/V_2 is an extension of $\sigma_{p-1-[r]}([r])$ by $\sigma_{[r]}$ (see for example [17]). The fact that this extension is split if and only if $[r] = p-1$ is a consequence of Proposition 5.1.4 below. So J_1 is the unique subrepresentation of dimension $[r]+1$ of V_0/V_2, which is $\sigma_{[r]}$. □

We write $a_0 = p-1-[r]$, $a_1 = [r]$, $b_0 = [r]$, $b_1 = 0$, so that J_i is isomorphic to $\sigma_{a_i}(b_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$.

5.1.3. *Generating elements.* A simple computation shows:

Proposition 5.1.4. *Let $\sigma_a(b)$ be identified with the vector space $E[x,y]_a$. If $a < p-1$, then Ex^a is the line of elements on which the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ acts by $u^{a+b}v^b$, and moreover x^a is invariant by the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. If $a = p-1$, Ex^{p-1} is the line on which the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ acts by u^bv^b, and which is invariant by the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.*

We set $e_0 = \eta_{0,p-1}$ and $e_1 = \eta_{0,p}$.

Then for $i = 0, 1$, e_i generates J_i as a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-representation. Moreover, in the isomorphism $J_i = \sigma_{a_i}(b_i) = E[x,y]_{a_i}$, the image of e_i corresponds to x^{a_i} (up to some scalar) by Proposition 5.1.4.

5.2. **Filtration by powers of θ.** For all $0 \leq i \leq m$, the multiplication by θ^i induces a linear map $E[X,Y]_{r-i(p+1)} \to E[X,Y]_r$, and the image is a subrepresentation isomorphic to $\sigma_{r-i(p+1)}(i)_E$. We denote it by V_{2i}.

By considering the analogue of V_1 for $E[X,Y]_{r-i(p+1)}$, and considering the multiplication by θ^i from $E[X,Y]_{r-i(p+1)}$ to $E[X,Y]_r$ for $i \leq m$, we refine the filtration (V_{2i}) into a filtration (V_i). Let $J_i = V_i/V_{i+1}$.

We set $a_{2i+1} = [r-2i]$, and $b_{2i+1} = i$, and $a_{2i} = p-1-[r-2i]$, and $b_{2i} = r-i$. We get that J_i is isomorphic to $\sigma_{a_i}(b_i)$.

5.3. **End of the filtration.** The subspace V_{2m} is the smallest we can obtain via powers of θ, but it is not necessarily irreducible. Let t be the remainder of the Euclidean division of r by $p+1$, so that $r = m(p+1)+t$. Then $V_{2m} = E_t(m)$.

If $t \leq p-1$ then V_{2m} is irreducible. We set $V_{2m+1} = 0$, $a_{2m} = t$, $b_{2m} = m$.

If $t = p$ then V_{2m} is reducible. We denote by V_{2m+1} the subrepresentation generated by $\theta^m X^p$. Let $V_{2m+2} = 0$. Set $a_{2m} = p-2$, $b_{2m} = m+1$, $a_{2m+1} = 1$, $b_{2m+1} = m$.

With these notations we have that J_i is isomorphic to $\sigma_{a_i}(b_i)$ as before.

5.4. **Basis and generators.** We summarize here the explicit description of the elements of the filtration.

5.4.1. *A basis of J_i.* The description of a basis of J_0 and J_1 generalizes to all i: If $i < m$, J_{2i} has a basis given by the $\eta_{i,v}$ for $[r-2i] \leq v \leq p-1$, and J_{2i+1} has a basis given by the $\eta_{i,v}$ for $0 \leq v < [r-2i]$ and $v = p$.

If $V_{2m+1} = 0$, then J_{2m} has a basis given by the $\eta_{m,v}$, $0 \leq v \leq r-m(p+1)$.

If $r = m(p+1)+p$ then J_{2m} has a basis given by $\eta_{m,v}$ for $1 \leq v \leq p-1$, and J_{2m+1} has a basis given by $\eta_{m,0}$ and $\eta_{m,p}$.

5.4.2. *A generating element.* If $i < m$ we set $e_{2i} = \eta_{i,p-1}$ and $e_{2i+1} = \eta_{i,p}$.

If $V_{2m+1} = 0$ we set $e_{2m} = \eta_{m,t}$.

If $V_{2m+1} \neq 0$ we set $e_{2m} = \eta_{m,p-1}$ and $e_{2m+1} = \eta_{m,p}$.

Then e_i generates $J_i = V_i/V_{i+1}$ as a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-representation. Moreover, in the isomorphism $J_i = \sigma_{a_i}(b_i) = E[x,y]_{a_i}$, the image of e_i corresponds to x^{a_i} (up to some scalar).

5.4.3. *Elements v_i.* Let $\Lambda_i = I(V_i)$, and denote by T_i the Hecke operator as in Paragraph 2.2 acting on Λ_i. We set $v_i = [1, e_i]$.

Let $w_{i,1} = \sum_{u \in I_1} [g^0_{1,u}, e_i]$ if $a_i > 0$, and $w_{i,1} = \sum_{u \in I_1} [g^0_{1,u}, e_i] + [g^1_{0,0}, e_i]$ if $a_i = 0$. Then $w_{i,1} = T_i v_i$.

Let $w_{i,2} = \sum_{u \in I_2} [g^0_{2,u}, e_i]$ if $a_i > 0$, and $w_{i,2} = \sum_{u \in I_2} [g^0_{2,u}, e_i] + \sum_{u \in I_1} [g^1_{1,u}, e_i] + [1, e_i]$ if $a_i = 0$. Then $w_{i,2} = T_i^2 v_i$.

5.5. **Properties of the filtration.** We summarize here some interesting properties of this particular filtration with respect to the computations of Section 4. These facts come from [12, Remark 4.4]. They allow us to know without computation the value of F_i for some steps of the filtration.

Lemma 5.5.1. *If $r \geq d(p+1)$, and $f \in R[X,Y]_{r-d(p+1)}$, then for all g, $p^{-d} T[g, \theta^d f]$ is in $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$.*

Corollary 5.5.2. *Suppose that d is an integer with $v(a_p) < d$ and $r \geq d(p+1)$, then for any $f \in E[X,Y]_{r-d(p+1)}$, and for all $g \in G$, $[g, \theta^d f]$ is in M_{k,a_p}. In particular, for the standard filtration, $F_i = 0$ for any $i > 2\lfloor a_p \rfloor + 1$.*

Lemma 5.5.3. *The element $[g, X^r]$ is in M_{k,a_p} for any k and a_p.*

Corollary 5.5.4. *For the standard filtration, $F_1 = 0$ for any k and a_p.*

6. Elementary divisors and reduction

We denote by R any discrete valuation ring, with uniformizer ϖ, valuation v, residue field E, and fraction field $\mathcal{K}$. Let M be a submodule of R^n. We denote by m its rank as a free module over R.

Let π be the reduction modulo ϖ map from R to E, or R^n to E^n.

In this Section we state general results about the following problem: compute the image in E^n of $\pi\left((\cup_d \varpi^{-d} M) \cap R^n\right)$. We will apply these results in Section 7 to the usual values of R, $\mathcal{K}$, E.

6.1. **Elementary divisors.** From the theory of elementary divisors, we know that there exists a uniqueley determined unordered list $\{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$ of non-negative integers, such that there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of R^n, such that the set $\{\varpi^{d_i} e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ is an R-basis of M. We call the d_is the elementary divisors of M in R^n. We denote by δ_M the maximum of the d_i.

It is clear that:

Proposition 6.1.1. *In $\mathcal{K}^n$ we have: $\cup_d(\varpi^{-d}M \cap R^n) = \varpi^{-\delta_M}M \cap R^n$, and δ_M is the smallest integer with this property.*

For later use we reformulate the statement as:

Corollary 6.1.2. *Suppose that M is the image of an injective linear map $t : R^m \to R^n$, which we extend to a map $\mathcal{K}^m \to \mathcal{K}^n$ by linearity. Then δ_M is the smallest of the integers d satisfying: for all $w \in \mathcal{K}^m$, if $t(w) \in R^n$ then w is in $\varpi^{-d}R^m$.*

6.2. **Good bases of M.** Let $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ a basis of R^n, we denote by λ_i the i-th coordinate function, so that $v = \sum_i \lambda_i(v)e_i$ for all $v \in R^n$.

If $x \in R^n$ is a non-zero vector, we define its valuation $v(x)$ to be $\inf_{1 \leq i \leq n} v(\lambda_i(x))$, and its normalization to be $\tilde{x} = \varpi^{-v(x)}x \in R^n$.

Definition 6.2.1. *Let $(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ be a family of vectors of M. We say that it is a good basis of M if: after reordering if necessary the elements of the basis (e_i), we have that $\lambda_i(w_i) \neq 0$, $v(\lambda_i(w_i)) = v(w_i)$, and for all $j < i$, $\lambda_j(w_i) = 0$.*

Proposition 6.2.2. *If $(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ is a good basis of M, then it is a basis of M and the elementary divisors of M are the $v(w_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. Consider the matrix of the coordinates of the w_i in the basis of the (e_i) (reordered if necessary). After elementary operations on the columns we get a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements the $\lambda_i(w_i)$. □

6.3. **Finding a good basis.** In order to find a good basis of M, we can use a variant of the Gauss algorithm. We start with a generating family $\mathcal{V}$ for M, an empty list of indices $\mathcal{I}$, and an empy list of vectors $\mathcal{V}_0$.

(1) Find an element $w \in \mathcal{V}$, and an index $i \in I$, such that we have simultaneously $v(\lambda_i(w)) = v(w)$ and $v(\lambda_i(w)) = \inf_{w' \in \mathcal{V}} v(\lambda_i(w'))$. If we can not do this, it means that the only vectors left in $\mathcal{V}$ are zero, and we stop.
(2) Use (w, i) as a pivot, that is, for all other elements $w' \in \mathcal{V}$, replace w' by $w' - \left(\lambda_i(w')\lambda_i(w)^{-1}\right) w$.
(3) Remove w from $\mathcal{V}$ and put it in an auxiliary list $\mathcal{V}_0$, and put i at the end of the ordered list $\mathcal{I}$. Then go back to step (1).

At the end of this process, we reorder $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by putting first the elements of $\mathcal{I}$ in order, and then all remaining elements of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ in any order. Then the elements of $\mathcal{V}_0$ form a good basis of M.

Remark 6.3.1. Note that we still have many options as to how we select a vector in step (1) of the algorithm. It is an interesting question how to do it in the most efficient way.

6.4. **Good bases up to ϖ^d.**

Definition 6.4.1. *Let $d > 0$ be an integer. A family $(w_1, \dots, w_s)$ of vectors of M is a good basis up to ϖ^d if (after reordering if necessary the elements (e_i)) we have that $\lambda_i(w_i) \neq 0$, $v(\lambda_i(w_i)) = v(w_i) \leq d$, and for all $j < i$, $v(\lambda_j(w_i)) > d$, and M is generated by $\{w_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\} \cup \left(\varpi^{d+1}R^n \cap M\right)$.*

Proposition 6.4.2. *Let $(w_1, \dots, w_s)$ be a good basis up to ϖ^d of M. Then there exists a good basis $(w'_1, \dots, w'_m)$ of M such that for all $i \leq s$ we have $v(w_i) = v(w'_i)$ and $\pi(\tilde{w}_i) = \pi(\tilde{w_i}')$, and for all $i > s$ we have $v(w'_i) > d$.*

Proof. First we replace each w_i for $i > 1$ by $w'_i = w_i + \left(\lambda_1(w_i)\lambda_1(w_1)^{-1}\right) w_1$. Then for all $i > 1$, we have $\lambda_1(w'_i) = 0$, and moreover the coefficients of w'_i differ from the coefficients of w_i by elements of valuation at least $d+1$. In particular $v(w'_i) = v(w_i) = v(\lambda_i(w_i))$ and $\pi(\tilde{w_i}) = \pi(\tilde{w_i}')$. We iterate this construction until we get a family of vectors $(w'_i)_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ that are a good basis up to ϖ^d satisfying moreover that for all $j < i$, $\lambda_j(w'_i) = 0$, so they are part of a good basis of M. Then we take a family of vectors in $\varpi^{d+1}R^n \cap M$ such that M is generated by the (w'_i) and this family, and we apply the Gauss algorithm to it in order to get a good basis of M. □

Proposition 6.4.3. *Let $d > 0$ be an integer. Starting from a generating family for M, we can obtain the image of $(R/\varpi^{d+1}R)^n$ of a good basis up to ϖ^d by working only in $(R/\varpi^{d+1}R)^n$.*

Proof. Start with a generating family for M, and consider its image in $(R/\varpi^{d+1}R)^n$. We want to apply the same algorithm as described in Paragraph 6.3, which needs some adaptations. We define the valuation of a non-zero element of $R/\varpi^{d+1}R$ as the valuation of any of its lifts to R. If a, b are two elements of $R/\varpi^{d+1}R$ with $v(a) \geq v(b)$, we denote by ab^{-1} a choice of an element u of $R/\varpi^d R$ satisfying $a = ub$ (equivalently, ab^{-1} is the reduction modulo ϖ^{d+1} of $\hat{a}\hat{b}^{-1}$ for a choice of lifts $\hat{a}, \hat{b}$ of a, b). With these definitions, we can apply the algorithm. In step (2), note that we had to make some choice in the definition of $\lambda_i(w')\lambda_i(w)^{-1}$, and the vector $\left(\lambda_i(w')\lambda_i(w)^{-1}\right) w'$ is not independant of this choice if $v(w') < v(w)$, but this vector still has its i-th coordinate equal to 0 in $R/\varpi^{d+1}R$ independently of the choice.

At the end of this algorithm, we get (after a reordering of the coordinates if necessary) a family (w_j) of vectors in $(R/\varpi^{d+1}R)^n$ satisfying the properties: there exists s such that for $j \leq s$, $\lambda_j(w_j) \neq 0$, $v(\lambda_i(w_j)) = v(w_j)$, and for all $i < j$, $\lambda_i(w_j) = 0$, and for $j > s$, $w_j = 0$.

We need now to show that the set of the first s vectors of this family is the image in $(R/\varpi^{d+1}R)^n$ of a good basis up to ϖ^d of M. For this, we do to the family of vectors of M the same operations as we did modulo ϖ^{d+1}, choosing in step (2) any choice of lift of the coefficient $\lambda_i(w')\lambda_i(w)^{-1}$. Then when we stop the algorithm for the vectors modulo ϖ^{d+1}, what we get for the original vectors in R^n is a family of s vectors that is a good basis of M up to ϖ^d, and the rest is a family of vectors with coefficients in $\varpi^{d+1}R^n$. □

Corollary 6.4.4. *Suppose that we start from a generating family that is in fact a basis of M, and that in the process we do not obtain the zero vector of $(R/\varpi^{d+1}R)^n$. Then the family we obtain at the end is the reduction modulo ϖ^{d+1} of a good basis up to ϖ^d of M which is also a basis of M.*

Corollary 6.4.5. *Suppose that we start from a basis of M. Then in the process we do not obtain the zero vector of $(R/\varpi^{d+1}R)^n$ if and only if $\delta_M \leq d$.*

Proof. Both properties are equivalent to the fact that, for the basis given by Proposition 6.4.2, we have $s = m$. □

6.5. **Computing some reductions modulo ϖ.** We consider all modules here as submodules of $\mathcal{K}^n$.

Proposition 6.5.1. *Let $d > 0$ be an integer and let $(w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ be a good basis of M up to ϖ^d. Then $\pi(\varpi^{-d}M \cap R^n)$ is generated by the $\pi(\tilde{w_i})$, $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Suppose that moreover $d \geq \delta_M$. Then $\pi(\cup_t(\varpi^{-t}M \cap R^n))$ is generated by the $\pi(\tilde{w_i})$.

Proof. Let w_i be an element of the basis such that $v(w_i) \leq d$. Then there exists $\mu \in R$ with $v(\mu) = d - v(w_i)$, and then $\varpi^{-d}\mu w_i$ is in $\varpi^{-d}M \cap R^n$ and reduces in E to a non-zero multiple of $\pi(\tilde{w_i})$. So all the $\pi(\tilde{w_i})$ with $v(w_i) \leq d$ are in $\pi((\varpi^{-d}R^m) \cap R^n)$.

Let now $(w_i')_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ be a good basis of M as in Proposition 6.4.2. Let $x \in \varpi^{-d}M$. We can write $x = \sum \varpi^{-d}\mu_i w_i'$ for some elements $\mu_i \in R$. Then $x = \sum \mu_i \varpi^{v(w_i')-d}\tilde{w_i'}$. By looking at the coefficients of x in the basis (e_i) we see that $x \in R^n$ if and only if $\varpi^{v(w_i')-d}\mu_i \in R$ for all i. Then $\pi(x) = \sum_i \pi(\varpi^{v(w_i')-d}\mu_i)\pi(\tilde{w_i}')$, and this is the same as taking the sum over only the indices i for which $v(w_i') \leq d$, that is $i \leq s$. So $\pi(x)$ is in the subspace of E^n generated by the $\pi(\tilde{w_i}') = \pi(\tilde{w_i})$ for $i \leq s$.

The last statement follows from Proposition 6.1.1. □

Corollary 6.5.2. *Let d be an integer. Starting from a generating family for M, we can compute a generating family for $\pi(\varpi^{-d}M \cap R^n)$ by doing computations in $(R/\varpi^{d+1}R)^n$.*

Let d be an integer larger than or equal to δ_M. Then we can compute a generating family for $\pi(\cup_t(\varpi^{-t}M \cap R^n))$ by doing computations in $(R/\varpi^{d+1}R)^n$.

6.6. **Computing locally.** We describe here a way to choose the vectors we work with in the Gauss algorithm which allows to work first with some subset of all the coordinates, ignoring all other coordinates. We will apply this in Section 7.4 to our original problem.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a family of vectors that generates M, I a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\mathcal{V}_I \subset \mathcal{V}$ the subset of vectors with support in I. Suppose that we have $J \subset I$ such that for all $w \in \mathcal{V}$, w not in $\mathcal{V}_I$, the intersection of the support of w with I is contained in J. Then we can compute a good basis of M (or the reduction modulo ϖ^{d+1} of a good basis of M up to ϖ^d) in two steps as follows:

(1) use a partial Gauss algorithm: apply the Gauss algorithm for vectors in $\mathcal{V}_I$, but allowing only the use of pivots that are in $I \setminus J$.
(2) when we can not do anything anymore, we have obtained a family $\mathcal{V}_{I,0}$ of vectors that were used at pivots, and a remainder $\mathcal{V}'_I$.
(3) apply the Gauss algorithm to the family $(\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_I) \cup \mathcal{V}'_I$.
(4) when the only vectors left in the family are zero vectors, we have obtained a family $\mathcal{V}'_0$ of vectors that were used at pivots.

Then $\mathcal{V}_{I,0} \cup \mathcal{V}'_0$ is a list of vectors obtained using the Gauss algorithm on the whole family $\mathcal{V}$. We call $\mathcal{V}_{I,0}$ the set of extracted vectors for this partial Gauss algorithm, and the coordinates in J are the excluded coordinates.

7. Computing relations

In this section, we explain how to apply the general results of Section 6 to obtain finite-dimensional subspaces of M_{k,a_p}, in order to apply the results of Section 4.

7.1. **Description of the operator T.** We give an explicit description of the G-equivariant operator T on $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2)$ or $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r E^2)$ introduced in Paragraph 2.2.

Let $f = [g, v]$, with v a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathcal{K}$ or E. Then

$$Tf = \sum_{u \in I_1} [gg^0_{1,u}, v(X, pY - uX)] + [gg^1_{0,0}, v(pX, Y)]$$

For $f = [g, v]$, we denote by T^+f the part of Tf with support farther from the origin than g, and T^-f with support closer to the origin. So if $g = g^0_{n,\lambda}$, we get that $T^+f = \sum_{u\in I_1}[gg^0_{1,u}, v(X, pY - uX)]$ and $T^-f = [gg^1_{0,0}, v(pX, Y)]$. When $g = 1$ by convention we set $T^+f = \sum_{u\in I_1}[g^0_{1,u}, v(X, pY - uX)]$ and $T^-f = [g^1_{0,0}, v(pX, Y)]$. We extend the definition of T^+ and T^- by linearity to $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r)$.

We introduce the notation $(g^0_{n,\mu}, i) = [g^0_{n,\mu}, X^{r-i}Y^i]$, and $(g^1_{n,\mu}, i) = [g^1_{n,\mu}, X^iY^{r-i}]$. We have $\beta g^0_{n,\lambda} = g^1_{n,\lambda} w$, where $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, so $\beta(g^\varepsilon_{n,\mu}, i) = (g^{1-\varepsilon}_{n,\mu}, i)$ for $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$.

We also have $wg^0_{n,p\mu} = g^1_{n-1,\mu} w$ for all $\mu \in I_{n-1}$, and so $w(g^0_{n,p\mu}, i) = (g^1_{n-1,\mu}, i)$.

We give an explicit formula for Tf for $n > 0$:

$$T^+(g^\varepsilon_{n,\lambda}, i) = \sum_{u\in I_1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} p^j \binom{i}{j} (-u)^{i-j} (g^\varepsilon_{n+1,\lambda+up^n}, j)$$

and

$$T^-(g^\varepsilon_{n,\lambda}, i) = p^{r-i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{i}{j} (\lambda')^{i-j} (g^\varepsilon_{n-1,\underline{\lambda}}, j)$$

where we set $\lambda = \underline{\lambda} + p^{n-1}\lambda'$, with $\lambda' \in I_1$ and $\underline{\lambda} \in I_{n-1}$.

We also have:

$$T(g^\varepsilon_{0,0}, i) = \sum_{u\in I_1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} p^j \binom{i}{j} (-u)^{i-j} (g^\varepsilon_{1,u}, j) + p^{r-i} (g^{1-\varepsilon}_{0,0}, r - i)$$

Proposition 7.1.1. *For any $a \in \mathcal{K}$, the operator $(T - a)$ is injective on $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2)$, $f \neq 0$, and take the smallest n such that f has support in B_n. Then it is clear that the part of $(T - a_p)f$ that has support in C_{n+1} is non-zero. □

We say that $f \in I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2)$ is integral if $f \in I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$. It is clear that if f is integral, then so is Tf.

7.2. **Denominators.** Let $\Theta = \Theta_{k,a_p} = I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)/\left((T - a_p)I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2) \cap I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)\right)$. It is a free R-module. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{k,a_p}$ be the submodule $((T - a_p)I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2) \cap I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2))$.

We recall the following result from [11, Proposition 3.3.3]:

Proposition 7.2.1. *Suppose $r \leq p - 1$. Let $f \in I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2)$ such that $(T - a_p)f$ is integral. Then f is integral.*

We give a generalization of this result for larger values of r. Let $N_r = \max(0, \lfloor (r - 1)/(p - 1) \rfloor)$.

Proposition 7.2.2. *Suppose $r \geq p$. Let $f \in I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2)$ with support in B_n such that $(T-a_p)f$ is integral. Write $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i$ with f_i having support in C_i. Then $p^{(n+1-i)N_r} f_i$ is integral.*

Corollary 7.2.3. *Let $f \in I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2)$ with support in B_n such that $(T-a_p)f$ is integral. Then $p^{(n+1)N_r} f$ is integral.*

In order to prove Proposition 7.2.2, we start by a special case:

Lemma 7.2.4. *Suppose $r \geq p$. Let $f = (g^{\varepsilon}_{n,\mu}, v) \in I(\mathrm{Symm}^r \mathcal{K}^2)$ with $T^+ f$ integral. Write $f = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i c_i (g^{\varepsilon}_{n,\mu}, i)$. Then $c_i \in p^{-\min(i,N_r)} R$.*

Proof. We set $c_i = 0$ if $i < 0$ or $i > r$, and $\alpha_{j,u} = p^j \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i \binom{i}{j} u^{i-j} \in R$.

Then $T^+ f = \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{u \in I_1} p^j (-1)^j \alpha_{j,u} (g^{\varepsilon}_{n+1,\mu+p^n u}, j)$. So this is integral if and only if for all j and for all $u \in I_1$, $\alpha_{j,u} \in R$

Looking at $\alpha_{0,0}$ we see that $c_0 \in R$, and more generally looking at $\alpha_{i,0}$ we see that $c_i \in p^{-i} R$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$, let $\beta_{j,a} = (\sum_{u \in \mu_{p-1}} u^{-a} \alpha_{j,u})/(p-1)$, except for $\beta_{0,0} = (\sum_{u \in \mu_{p-1}} u^{-a} \alpha_{0,u})/(p-1) - c_0$.

Then

$$\beta_{j,a} = p^j \sum_{\substack{i > 0 \\ i = a+j \pmod{p-1}}} c_i \binom{i}{j}$$

and for all j, a, we get that $\beta_{j,a} \in R$.

We fix now $b \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, and consider only the elements $c_{b+\ell(p-1)}$, $\ell \geq 0$. Let n be the largest integer such that $b + \ell(p-1) \leq r$, so we have $(n+1)$ unknowns $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ with $x_i = c_{b+i(p-1)}$. We consider the $(n+1)$ equations for $0 \leq j \leq n$:

$$\sum_{m=0}^n \binom{b+m(p-1)}{j} x_m = p^{-j} \beta_{j,b-j}$$

Using Lemma 7.2.5, we get that $x_m \in p^{-n} R$ for all m.

Now we compute the value of n. Write $r = N_r(p-1) + a$ with $1 \leq a \leq p-1$. Then $n = N_r$ for $b \leq a$, and $n = N_r - 1$ for $b > a$. So in any case $n \leq N_r$. □

Lemma 7.2.5. *For all $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, the determinant $\delta_{b,n}$ of the matrix with coefficients $\left(\binom{b+m(p-1)}{j}\right)_{0 \leq j,m \leq n}$ is invertible in R.*

Proof. Let $z_{m,j} = \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (b + m(p-1) - i)$. Then $\delta_{b,n} = (\prod_{i=0}^n (i!))^{-1} \det((z_{m,j})_{0 \leq j,m \leq n})$. Note that $z_{m,j} = P_j(b + m(p-1))$ for a polynomial P_j that is monic of degree j and independent of b and m. So by linearity $\det((z_{m,j})_{0 \leq j,m \leq n}) = \det((z'_{m,j})_{0 \leq j,m \leq n})$ where $z'_{m,j} = (b + m(p-1))^j$. But the latter is the Vandermonde determinant on the $b + m(p-1)$, $0 \leq m \leq n$, so is equal to $\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} (b + j(p-1) - b - i(p-1)) = (p-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{i=0}^n (i!)$. So finally $\delta_{b,n} = (p-1)^{n(n+1)/2}$. □

Proof of Proposition 7.2.2. Write $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i$ with f_i having support in C_i.

We see first from Lemma 7.2.4 that $p^{N_r} f_n$ is integral. Indeed, $T^+ f_n$ is integral, as it is exactly the part of $(T-a_p)f$ with support in C_{n+1}.

The part of $(T-a_p)f$ with support in C_n is $-a_p f_n + T^+ f_{n-1}$. As $(p^{N_r})(-a_p f_n)$ is integral, we see that $p^{N_r} T^+ f_{n-1}$ is integral, and then so is $p^{2N_r} f_{n-1}$.

Let $i < n-1$, and consider the part of $(T-a_p)f$ with support in C_i. It is $T^- f_{i+1} - a_p f_i + T^+ f_{i-1}$. If $p^{(n+1-i)N_r}(T^- f_{i+1} - a_p f_i)$ is integral, then so is $p^{(n+1-i)N_r}(T^+ f_{i-1})$, and so is $p^{(n+2-i)N_r} f_{i-1}$ by Lemma 7.2.4. □

7.3. **Finite dimensional subspaces of M_{k,a_p}.** Recall that M_{k,a_p} is the reduction modulo p of $\mathcal{M}_{k,a_p}$.

Let S be a finite subset of the vertices of the tree of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. For any representation V of K, we denote by $I_S(V)$ the subspace of $I(V)$ of vectors whose support in the tree is included in S. For example we can take for S the set B_n of vertices at distance at most n from the origin, in this case we write I_n for I_{B_n}.

For any non-negative integer d and S as above, let $\mathcal{M}_{d,S} = (T-a_p)p^{-d} I_S(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2) \cap I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{M}_{k,a_p} = \cup_{d,S} \mathcal{M}_{d,S}$, and so $M_{k,a_p} = \cup_{d,S} M_{d,S}$ where $M_{d,S}$ is the image of $\mathcal{M}_{d,S}$ in M_{k,a_p}. We also write $\mathcal{M}_S = \cup_d \mathcal{M}_{d,S}$.

From Proposition 7.2.3 , we deduce that:

Proposition 7.3.1. *If $S \subset B_n$, the maximum of the elementary divisors of $(T-a_p)I_S(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$ inside $I_{n+1}(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$ is at most $(n+1)N_r$.*

Remark 7.3.2. From the computations, it seems that this bound is far from optimal.

And so, using Corollary 6.1.2:

Proposition 7.3.3. *Suppose that $S \subset B_n$. Then*

$$\cup_{d\geq 0}\left(\varpi^{-d}(T-a_p)I_S(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)\cap I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)\right) = p^{-(n+1)N_r}(T-a_p)I_S(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)\cap I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$$

Corollary 7.3.4. *Suppose that $S \subset B_n$, and that we can compute $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ by using only elements of $\mathcal{M}_{d,S}$ for some d. Then we can compute $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ by using only elements of $\mathcal{M}_{\delta,S}$ for $\delta=(n+1)N_r$.*

Corollary 7.3.5. *For a fixed S, we need only work with finite precision determined explicitly in terms of S.*

7.4. **An explicit algorithm for the computation.** Consider now the following problem: we fix some $n \geq 0$, and we want to compute a good basis (or a good basis up to ϖ^d for some d) for the module $\mathcal{M}_n = (T-a_p)I_n(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$. The elements of $\mathcal{M}_n$ have support in B_{n+1}, so this means doing the Gauss algorithm as in Paragraph 6.3 with a family of size $(r+1)\#B_n$ in a module of rank $(r+1)\#B_{n+1}$. We now explain how to take into account the structure of the tree to speed up significantly the computation.

We take as basis vectors of the ambient space the elements $[g^{\varepsilon}_{m,\mu}, X^{r-i}Y^i]$ for $m+\varepsilon \leq n+1$, $\mu \in I_m$, $0 \leq i \leq r$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_m$ the set of elements of the form $[g^{\varepsilon}_{m-\varepsilon,\mu}, X^{r-i}Y^i]$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$, so $\mathcal{B}_m$ is a basis of $I_{C_m}(R)$.

The module $\mathcal{M}_n$ is generated by the $(T-a_p)f$ for $f \in \cup_{i=0}^n \mathcal{B}_i$, and these elements for in fact a basis of $\mathcal{M}_n$ by Proposition 7.1.1.

7.4.1. *Subdivising the tree.* For $m \leq n$, let S_m the subtree of B_{n+1} containing the element $[g^0_{n+1-m,0}]$ and all classes $[g]$ of B_{n+1} that are farther than $[g^0_{n+1-m,0}]$ from $[g^0_{0,0}]$ (that is, the path from $[g^0_{0,0}]$ to $[g]$ goes through $[g^0_{n+1-m,0}]$).

We denote by $\mathcal{B}_i^m$ the subset of elements f of $\mathcal{B}_i$ such that $(T-a_p)f$ has support in S_m. This is empty if $i \leq n+1-m$, and if $i > n+1-m$ this is the same as the set of $f \in \mathcal{B}_i$ with support in S_m.

If $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, let $t(x)$ be the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then note that S_{m+1} is the disjoint union of $\{[g^0_{n-m,0}]\}$ and the $t(up^{n-m})S_m$ for $u \in I_1$.

Moreover, B_{n+1} is the disjoint union of $\{[g^0_{0,0}]\}$, the $t(u)S_n$ for $u \in I_1$, and wS_n.

7.4.2. *Computing locally.* We now make use the remark of Paragraph 6.6, and obtain the following algorithm:

- Do the partial Gauss algorithm the family of vectors $(T-a_p)f$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}_n^2$, excluding the coordinates $[g^0_{s,\mu}, X^{r-i}Y^i]$ for $s=n-1$ or $s=n$. We get a list $\mathcal{V}_{2,0}$ of extracted vectors and a list of remaining vectors $\mathcal{V}_2'$.
- For m from 3 to n: do the partial Gauss algorithm for vectors in the list
$$\cup_{u\in I_1} t(up^{n-m+1})\mathcal{V}'_{m-1} \cup \{(T-a_p)f, f \in \mathcal{B}^m_{n+2-m}\}$$
excluding the coordinates $[g^0_{s,\mu}, X^{r-i}Y^i]$ for $s=n+1-m$ or $s=n+2-m$. We get a list $\mathcal{V}_{m,0}$ of extracted vectors, and a list of remaining vectors $\mathcal{V}'_m$.
- Do the Gauss algorithm for the list
$$\cup_{u\in I_1} t(u)\mathcal{V}'_n \cup w\mathcal{V}'_n \cup \{(T-a_p)f, f \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_0\}$$
We get a list $\mathcal{V}_{n+1,0}$ of extracted vectors.

In the end, we get a list $\mathcal{V}_0$ of extracted vectors which is equal to $\mathcal{V}_{n+1,0} \cup (\cup_{m=2}^n \cup_{\mu\in I_{n+1-m}} t(\mu)\mathcal{V}_{m,0}) \cup w(\cup_{m=2}^n \cup_{\mu\in I_{n+1-m}} t(\mu)\mathcal{V}_{m,0})$.

Remark 7.4.1. The advantage of this method is that we do most of our computations in modules that are of rank much smaller than the rank of $I_{n+1}(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$, as $I_{S_m}(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$ is of rank $(r+1)(p^{m+1}-1)/(p-1)$. The final computation in $I_{n+1}(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$ is still the longest part, but at this stage the number of vectors that we have to take into account is much smaller that the rank of $I_n(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$, which is the number we would have to consider otherwise.

8. Summary of the algorithm

8.1. **The algorithm.** We summarize the algorithm to compute $\overline{V}_{k,a_p}$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p(a_p)$ with ring of integers R and uniformizer ϖ. Let e denote the ramification index of $\mathcal{K}$, so that computing modulo p^d in R is the same as computing modulo ϖ^{ed}.

(1) For some $n \geq 0$ and $d \geq 0$, compute the reduction modulo p^{d+1} of a good basis up to p^d for the submodule $(T-a_p)I_n(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$ of $I_{n+1}(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$, by the method of Paragraph 7.4.
(2) Deduce from this a generating family for the subspace M_{d,B_n} of M_{k,a_p}, via Proposition 6.5.1.
(3) Using the elements given in Paragraph 5.4 and the method of Paragraph 4.2, get information on the graded parts F_i of the filtration of $I(\sigma_{r,E})/M_{k,a_p}$ described in Section 4.
(4) If we have enough information on the F_i to deduce $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p})$, stop here. If we do not have enough information, try again with a larger value of n or of d.

The algorithm will give enough information to deduce $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p})$ for some finite value of n.

Remark 8.1.1. By Corollary 6.4.5, it is easy to check during step (1) of the algorithm if trying the computation with the same n but a larger value of d would add any new information.

Remark 8.1.2. As a byproduct of the computation, we can take note of the largest of the elementary divisors that appeared and deduce a local constancy result via Corollary 9.1.2 and Corollary 9.2.2.

8.2. **Remarks on the choice of d and n.**

8.2.1. *Choice of d.* The first problem is how to best choose the value of d for a given n. We would like to take d large enough that we get reduction of all the elements in $\cup_{t\geq 0}\left(\varpi^{-t}(T-a_p)I_{B_n}(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)\cap I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)\right)$ (so that we do not have to try again with the same value of n but a larger d). By Proposition 7.3.1, we could take $d=(n+1)N_r$ to ensure that this property is verified. However, this choice is probably not optimal: indeed we do not want to take d too large as the computation time would be much longer. In fact, from the examples, the largest elementary divisor that appears for $(T-a_p)I_{B_n}(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$ inside $I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$ seems to be much smaller than $(n+1)N_r$. So it is probably better to choose d based on an estimation of the size of the largest elementary divisor, for example using the value obtained from a computation with a smaller value of n.

8.2.2. *Choice of n.* From a theoretical point of view, it would be very interesting to have a bound for the value of n necessary for this computation. However, from a computational point of view, having such a bound is quite useless unless we know in fact exactly the smallest necessary value of n. Indeed, let d_n be the rank of the R-module $I_n(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$. Then, for fixed r, d_n grows as p^n. The computation time grows at least as fast as d_n^α for some $\alpha \geq 1$, so it grows at least as fast as x^n for some $x > 2$. So in particular, if n_0 is the smallest value that allows us to compute the reduction, then doing the computation for some $n > n_0$ is expected to take actually more time than doing the computation successively for $n = 1, 2 \ldots n_0$.

9. Local constancy results

9.1. **A local constancy result with respect to a_p.** We know that the value of $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is locally constant with respect to a_p, for a fixed k, and we have explicit radii: see [5] for the case $a_p \neq 0$, and [7] for $a_p = 0$. We show that the results of the computation of the algorithm also give an explicit radius around a given a_p for a fixed k.

We say that we are able to compute $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ by using elements of $M' \subset M_{k,a_p}$ if there is a unique $\Sigma \in \mathcal{JH}$ such that $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{JH}(I(\sigma_{r,E})/E[G]M')$. In this case we have that $\Sigma = \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}) = \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Pi}_{k,a_p})$.

Theorem 9.1.1. *Suppose that we are able to compute $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ by using only elements of $\mathcal{M}_{d,S}$ for some d and S as above. Then for all a such that $v(a-a_p) > d$, we have that $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p} \simeq \overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a}$, and $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Pi}_{k,a}) = \Sigma$ for the unique $\Sigma \in \mathcal{JH}$ such that $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{JH}(I(\sigma_{r,E})/E[G]M_{d,S}(a_p))$.*

Proof. Let Σ be the unique element of $\mathcal{JH}$ such that $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{JH}(I(\sigma_{r,E})/E[G]M_{d,S}(a_p))$. If $v(a-a_p) > d$ then $M_{d,S}(a) = M_{d,S}(a_p)$, so Σ is also the unique element of $\mathcal{JH}$ such that $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{JH}(I(\sigma_{r,E})/E[G]M_{d,S}(a))$. Then $\Sigma = \mathrm{JH}(\overline{\Theta}_{k,a})$ so $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a}$ is constant on the set $\{v(a_p-a) > d\}$. So finally $\overline{\Pi}_{k,a}$ is constant on the set $\{v(a_p-a) > d\}$, and its list of Jordan-Hoelder factors is given by Σ. □

Corollary 9.1.2. *Suppose that we are able to compute $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ by using only elements of $\mathcal{M}_{d,S}$ for some d and S. Let δ_S be the maximal valuation of the elementary divisors of $(T-a_p)I_S(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2) \subset I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$. Then for all a such that $v(a-a_p) > \delta_S$, we have that $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p} \simeq \overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a}$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 6.1.1 that we can in fact compute $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ by using only elements of $\mathcal{M}_{\delta_S,S}$. □

When we apply the Gauss algorithm as in Paragraph 7.4, the value of δ_S appears automatically as a byproduct. So even if we started by a non-optimal d, we still get a bound for local constancy that is closer to the optimal one. In particular, as we see in the examples of Section 10, we often obtain a better bound than the one given by [5, Theorem A] or [7, Theorem 1.1.1].

9.2. **A local constancy result with respect to the weight.**

Theorem 9.2.1. *Let $\delta \geq 0$ be such that we can compute $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ using the algorithm of Section 4 and the standard filtration by using as denominators only elements of valuation at most δ. Let $c > v_p(a_p) + \delta$ be an integer.*

Suppose that $c \leq (k-2)/(p+1)$. Then for all $k' \geq k$ such that $k' \equiv k \mod (p-1)p^{1+\lfloor \delta \rfloor + \lfloor \log_p(c) \rfloor}$, we have $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k',a_p} \simeq \overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$.

As in Paragraph 9.1, we deduce from this Theorem the following Corollary:

Corollary 9.2.2. *Suppose that we are able to compute $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ using the algorithm of Section 4 and the standard filtration by using only elements of $\mathcal{M}_{d,S}$ for some d and S. Let δ_S be the maximal valuation of the elementary divisors of $(T-a_p)I_S(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2) \subset I(\mathrm{Symm}^r R^2)$. Let $c > v_p(a_p) + \delta_S$ be an integer.*

Suppose that $c \leq (k-2)/(p+1)$. Then for all $k' \geq k$ such that $k' \equiv k \mod (p-1)p^{1+\lfloor \delta_S \rfloor + \lfloor \log_p(c) \rfloor}$, we have $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k',a_p} \simeq \overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$.

Remark 9.2.3. As we see in Section 10, the condition that $c \leq (k-2)/(p+1)$ seems to be often but not always satisfied.

We need a few preliminaries before we can prove Theorem 9.2.1.

9.2.1. *Combinatorial lemmas.*

Lemma 9.2.4. *Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have $v_p(\binom{x}{i} - \binom{y}{i}) \geq \max(0, v_p(x-y) - \lfloor \log_p(i) \rfloor)$.*

Proof. We write $\binom{x}{i} = \binom{y}{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \binom{y}{i-j}\binom{x-y}{j}$. Then $\binom{y}{i-j} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ whereas $\binom{x-y}{j} = \frac{x-y}{j}\binom{x-y-1}{j-1}$ with $\binom{x-y-1}{j-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $v_p(j) \leq \lfloor \log_p(i) \rfloor$, so each term of the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{i} \binom{y}{i-j}\binom{x-y}{j}$ has valuation as least $v_p(x-y) - \lfloor \log_p(i) \rfloor$. □

Lemma 9.2.5. *Let d, s, t, n, n', ℓ be integers with $n' \geq n$ and $s + t \leq n$ and $\ell < n$ and $d < n$. When $p = 2$ suppose moreover that $n \geq d + \ell$. Suppose that $n' \equiv n \mod (p-1)p^{d+\lfloor \log_p(\max(\ell,d,s,t)) \rfloor}$. Then for all $b \in \{0, \ldots, p-2\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{j \equiv b \mod p-1, s \leq j \leq n-t} \binom{j}{\ell}\binom{n}{j} \equiv \sum_{j \equiv b \mod p-1, s \leq j \leq n'-t} \binom{j}{\ell}\binom{n'}{j} \mod p^d$$

Proof. Suppose first that $s = 0$ and $t = 0$. Let $f_n(x) = (1+x)^n$, seen as a function over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $g_n(x) = \ell!^{-1} x^{\ell-b} f_n^{(\ell)}(x)$. Then $g_n(x) = \binom{n}{\ell} x^{\ell-b}(1+x)^{n-\ell} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{j}{\ell}\binom{n}{j} x^{j-b}$. So

$$\sum_{j \equiv b \mod p-1, j \leq n} \binom{j}{\ell}\binom{n}{j} = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\xi \in \mu_{p-1}} g_n(\xi)$$

and we have the same formula for n'. So it is enough to show that $g_n(\xi) \equiv g_{n'}(\xi) \mod p^d$ for all $\xi \in \mu_{p-1}$.

Suppose first $p = 2$. Then $\xi = 1$, $1 + \xi = 2$, so as $n - \ell \geq d$ we have that $g_n(\xi) \equiv 0 \mod 2^d$ and the same for $g_{n'}(\xi)$.

Suppose now that $p \neq 2$. The congruence is true for $\xi = -1$ as $\ell < n$, so we can suppose $\xi \in \mu_{p-1} \setminus \{-1\}$. Write $n = a + (p-1)s$ for some $s \geq 0$ so $n' = a + (p-1)s'$ with $s' \equiv s \mod p^{d+\lfloor \log_p(\max(\ell,d)) \rfloor}$. Then $g_n(x) = \binom{n}{\ell} x^{\ell-b}(1+x)^a(1+x)^{(p-1)s}$ and $g_{n'}(x) = \binom{n'}{\ell} x^{\ell-b}(1+x)^a(1+x)^{(p-1)s'}$. Note that $1 + \xi$ is

in $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ when $\xi \neq -1$, so $(1+\xi)^{p-1} \in 1+p\mathbb{Z}_p$. We write $(1+\xi)^{p-1} = 1+pz_\xi$. Then $(1+\xi)^{(p-1)s} = (1+pz_\xi)^s$, so $g_n(\xi) \equiv \binom{n}{\ell}\xi^{\ell-b}(1+\xi)^a \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}\binom{s}{i}p^i z_\xi^i \mod p^d$ and $g_{n'}(\xi) \equiv \binom{n'}{\ell}\xi^{\ell-b}(1+\xi)^a \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}\binom{s'}{i}p^i z_\xi^i \mod p^d$. Now we apply Lemma 9.2.4 to get the result.

Now go back to a general s and t. The difference between the sum we want and the sum we have computed for $s = 0$ and $t = 0$ is a finite number of binomials of the form $\binom{m}{\ell}\binom{n}{m}$ for $m < s$ and $\binom{n-m}{\ell}\binom{n}{n-m} = \binom{n-m}{\ell}\binom{n}{m}$ for $m < t$, and the same for n', so we can apply Lemma 9.2.4. □

9.2.2. *Bases.* Let A be one of the rings $\mathbb{Z}_p$, R, $\mathcal{K}$, E. We define two different bases for the free A-module of rank $r+1$ $M_r(A) = A[X,Y]_r$. We omit the mention of A if the statement does not depend on it. Let $\theta = X^pY - XY^p \in A[X,Y]$. Let $m(r) = \lfloor r/(p+1)\rfloor$, and $r = (p+1)m(r) + t(r)$, so $0 \leq t(r) \leq p$.

We introduce first a family of elements of $M_r(A)$. We set $b_{n,i}(r) = \theta^n X^{r-n(p+1)-i}Y^i$ if $n \leq m(r)$ and $r \geq n(p+1)+i$. We also set $b_{n,\infty}(r) = \theta^n Y^{r-n(p+1)}$ if $n \leq m(r)$.

Our first basis is the set $\mathcal{B}_0(r) = \{b_{0,i}(r), 0 \leq i \leq r\}$. Our second basis is the set $\mathcal{B}_\theta(r) = \{b_{n,i}(r), n < m(r), 0 \leq i \leq p-1 \text{ or } i = \infty\} \cup \{b_{m(r),i}(r), 0 \leq i < t(r) \text{ or } i = \infty\}$. The fact that $\mathcal{B}_\theta(r)$ is indeed a basis in a consequence of an analogue of the computations of Section 5.4. The basis $\mathcal{B}_\theta(r)$ is the one we really want to work with, but we need to introduce $\mathcal{B}_0(r)$ as the action of the operator T is more naturally expressed in this basis.

For an element $f \in M_r$ and $n \leq m(r)$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1, \infty\}$, we set $\lambda_r(f; n, j)$ for the coordinate in $b_{n,j}(r)$ of f written in the basis $\mathcal{B}_\theta(r)$. When $f = b_{t,i}(r)$, we also write $\lambda_r(t, i; n, j)$ for $\lambda_r(b_{t,i}(r); n, j)$.

For any integer $c \leq m(r)$, we denote by M_r^c the submodule of M_r of elements divisible by θ^c, it is also the submodule of M_r generated by the elements $b_{t,i}(r) \in \mathcal{B}_\theta(r)$ with $t \geq c$. We denote by $M_{r,c}$ be the submodule of M_r generated by the elements of the basis $\mathcal{B}_\theta(r)$ of the form $b_{n,i}(r)$ for $n < c$. We have $M_r = M_r^c \oplus M_{r,c}$, and we denote by $\pi_{r,c} : M_r \to M_{r,c}$ be the projection attached to this decomposition. Note that the decomposition is compatible with change of rings (such as the reduction $R \to E$ or the inclusion $R \to \mathcal{K}$).

Lemma 9.2.6. *The following algorithm gives the coordinates of an element $z \in M_r$ in the basis $\mathcal{B}_\theta(r)$: start with the element z written as any linear combination of elements of the form $b_{n,j}(r)$ with $n(p+1)+j \leq r$ or $j = \infty$. Then apply the following set of transformations on each $b_{n,j}(r)$ appearing with a non-zero coefficient:*

1. *each $b_{n,j}(r)$ with $j \leq p-1$ or $j = \infty$ is unchanged*
2. *each $b_{n,j}(r)$ with $r = n(p+1)+j$ is replaced by $b_{n,\infty}(r)$*
3. *each $b_{n,j}(r)$ with $p \leq j < r - n(p+1)$ is replaced by $b_{n,j+1-p}(r) - b_{n+1,j-p}(r)$.*

After the set of transformations has been applied a finite number of times, all the elements that appear are left unchanged ; at this point we have written z as a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}_\theta(r)$.

Proof. It suffices to check that the transformations do not change the element z being represented by the linear combination, and that the elements left unchanged are exactly the elements of $\mathcal{B}_\theta(r)$. □

If $r' > r$, we denote by $\psi_{r,r',c}$ the map $M_{r,c} \to M_{r',c}$ that sends $b_{n,i}(r)$ to $b_{n,i}(r')$ for all $n < c$.

Lemma 9.2.7. *Suppose $r' > r$. Let $t \leq r - c$. Then for all $i \leq t$, and all $n < c$, and all $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1, \infty\}$, we have $\lambda_r(0, i; n, j) = \lambda_{r'}(0, i; n, j)$.*

Proof. Start with $z = b_{0,i}(r)$, and apply the algorithm of Lemma 9.2.6. Then: we will apply step (2) of the set of transformations only to elements $b_{n,j}(r)$ with $n \geq c$. Indeed, consider the quantity $r - np - j$ for each $b_{n,j}(r)$ that appears at some point of the algorithm. Then this quantity does never go down during the application of the algorithm unless we apply step (2), and it is equal to $r - i \geq r - t \geq c$ for $b_{0,i}(r)$. We apply step (2) only to a $b_{n,j}(r)$ for which the quantity $r - np - j$ is equal to n, so only to some $b_{n,j}(r)$ with $n \geq c$.

This property also holds for $z' = b_{0,i}(r')$ as $r' > r$.

Now we start with $z = b_{0,i}(r)$ and $z' = b_{0,i}(r')$, and we write $z = \sum \mu_{n,j}^m b_{n,j}(r)$ and $z' = \sum \mu_{n,j}^{m\,\prime} b_{n,j}(r')$ the linear combination obtained after the m-th time we have applied the set of transformations. We need only show that for all m, and all $n \leq c$, we have $\mu_{n,j}^m = \mu_{n,j}^{m\,\prime}$.

A difference can only appear when we apply step (2) of the set of transformations, if we have $r = n(p+1)+j$ but $r' > n(p+1)+j$. But as we saw, it can happen only for $n \geq c$ so it does not play a role in the $\lambda_r(0, i; n, j)$ and $\lambda_{r'}(0, i; n, j)$ for $n < c$. □

We have the following result:

Lemma 9.2.8. *Let $P = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i X^{r-i} Y^i \in M_r$. Then $P \in M_r^c$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) *$\alpha_i = 0$ for all $i < c$*
(2) *$\alpha_i = 0$ for all $i > r - c$*
(3) *for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ and all $\ell < c$ we have $\sum_{i \equiv a \mod p-1} \binom{i}{\ell} \alpha_i = 0$.*

Proof. We do the proof only in characteristic zero. The result still holds in characteristic p, with the same proof except that the derivative is replaced by the Hasse derivative.

Let $P = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i X^{r-i} Y^i$, and set $f_P(t) = \sum_i \alpha_i t^i$. Fix a and ℓ, and let $g_{a,\ell,P}(t) = t^{\ell-a} f_P^{(\ell)}(t)$. Then $g_{a,\ell,P}(t) = \ell! \sum_i \binom{i}{\ell} \alpha_i t^{i-a}$, so $\ell!(p-1) \sum_{i \equiv a \mod p-1} \binom{i}{\ell} \alpha_i = \sum_{\xi \in \mu_{p-1}} g_{a,\ell,P}(\xi)$.

Suppose that θ^c divides P. Conditions (1) and (2) are clear as $X^c Y^c$ divides θ^c. Moreover $(t - t^p)^c$ divides f_P, so for all $\xi \in \mu_{p-1}$ we have that $(t-\xi)^c$ divides f_P. So $(t-\xi)$ divides $f_P^{(\ell)}$, hence $g_{a,\ell,P}$, for all $\ell < c$. So $g_{a,\ell,P}(\xi) = 0$ and hence $\sum_{i \equiv a \mod p-1} \binom{i}{\ell} \alpha_i = 0$. So P satisfies also condition (3).

Suppose now that P satisfies the conditions. Fix $\ell < c$. Then $\sum_{i \equiv a \mod p-1} \binom{i}{\ell} \alpha_i = 0$ for all a implies that $\sum_\xi \xi^{\ell-a} f_P^{(\ell)}(\xi) = 0$ for all a, so $f_P^{(\ell)}(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mu_{p-1}$. As this is true for all $\ell < c$, it means that $(1 - t^{p-1})^c$ divides f_P. As $\alpha_i = 0$ for all $i < c$ we see that $(t - t^p)^c$ divides f_P. Finally $\deg f_P \leq r - c$ from condition (2), so θ^c divides $P(X,Y) = X^r f_P(Y/X)$. □

Lemma 9.2.9. *There exists an invertible A-linear map $\psi : A^{c(p-1)} \to A^{c(p-1)}$, depending only on c, with coordinates $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{c(p-1)-1}$ such that for all $f = \sum_{i=c}^{r-c} f_i b_{0,i}(r) \in M_r(A)$, we have $f - \tilde{f} \in M_r^c$ where $\tilde{f} = \sum_{i=0}^{c(p-1)-1} \psi_i(v(f)) b_{0,i+c}(r)$ and $v(f) = (\sum_{i \equiv a \mod p-1} \binom{i}{\ell} f_i)_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq \ell < c}$.*

Proof. For all $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$, denote by a_0 the smallest integer $\geq c$ which is congruent to a modulo $p-1$. Then for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ consider the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear map $\phi_a : \mathbb{Z}_p^c \to \mathbb{Z}_p^c$, $\phi_a(x_0, \dots, x_{c-1}) = (\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{a_0 + j(p-1)}{\ell} x_j)_{0 \leq \ell < c}$. Then the map ϕ_a is invertible by Lemma 7.2.5. We put all the maps ϕ_a together for $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$, we get a map $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{c(p-1)} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{c(p-1)}$ such that $\phi(x_0, \dots, x_{c(p-1)-1})$ is equal to $(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{a_0 + j(p-1)}{\ell} x_j)_{0 \leq \ell < c, a \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}}$. Denote by ψ the inverse of ϕ.

Let $\tilde{f}$ be as in the statement. Then by construction, $f - \tilde{f}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 9.2.8 so $f - \tilde{f} \in M_r^c$. □

Lemma 9.2.10. *Let $d > 0$. Suppose that $r' > r$. Let $f \in M_r(R)$ and $f' \in M_{r'}(R)$ with $f = \sum_{i=c}^{r-c} f_i b_{0,i}(r)$ and $f = \sum_{i=c}^{r'-c} f_i' b_{0,i}(r')$. Suppose that for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ and for all $\ell < c$ we have $\sum_{i \equiv a \mod p-1} \binom{i}{\ell} f_i \equiv \sum_{i \equiv a \mod p-1} \binom{i}{\ell} f_i' \mod p^d$. Then $\lambda_r(f; n, j) \equiv \lambda_{r'}(f'; n, j) \mod p^d$ for all $n < c$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1, \infty\}$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{f}'$ be attached to f and f' as in Lemma 9.2.9. Then $\lambda_r(f; n, j) = \lambda_r(\tilde{f}; n, j)$ and $\lambda_{r'}(f'; n, j) = \lambda_r(\tilde{f}'; n, j)$ for all $n < c$. Moreover, let $\tilde{f} = \sum \alpha_i b_{0,i}(r)$ and $\tilde{f} = \sum \alpha_i' b_{0,i}(r')$. Then $\alpha_i = 0$ and $\alpha_i' = 0$ for all $i \geq c(p-1)$, and $\alpha_i \equiv \alpha_i' \mod p^d$ for all $i < c(p-1)$ by construction. So we can conclude by Lemma 9.2.7. □

Lemma 9.2.11. *Let $d > 0$. Suppose that $r' > r$ and $r' \equiv r \mod (p-1)p^{d + \lfloor \log_p(c) \rfloor}$. Let $c \leq i \leq pc$. Then coefficients $\lambda_r(0, r-i; n, j)$ and $\lambda_{r'}(0, r'-i; n, j)$ are congruent modulo p^d for all $n < c$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1, \infty\}$.*

Proof. Let $f = b_{0,r-i}(r)$ and $f' = b_{0,r'-i}(r')$. We want to apply Lemma 9.2.10 to f and f'. The hypotheses are satisfied thanks to Lemma 9.2.4. Indeed the sums considered either 0 for both f and f', if we do not sum over the correct congruence class or $\binom{r-i}{\ell}$ for some $\ell < c$ for f and $\binom{r'-i}{\ell}$ for f'. □

Lemma 9.2.12. *Let $d > 0$. Suppose $i < c$ and $r' > r$ and $r' \equiv r \mod (p-1)p^{d + \lfloor \log_p(c) \rfloor}$. Then the coefficients $\lambda_r(0, r-i; n, j)$ and $\lambda_{r'}(0, r'-i; n, j)$ are congruent modulo p^d for all $n < c$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1, \infty\}$.*

Proof. Oberve that for all $t < c$ we have $b_{0,r-t}(r) = (-1)^t (b_{t,\infty}(r) - \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \binom{t}{j} (-1)^j b_{0,r-tp+j(p-1)})$. We rewrite $b_{0,r-i}(r)$ as follows: start with $b_{0,r-i}(r)$. At each step, replace each term of the form $b_{0,r-t}(r)$ with $t < c$ by the formula above. Stop when we have written $b_{0,r-i}(r)$ as $\sum_{j=0}^{c-1} x_j b_{j,\infty}(r) + \sum_{t=c}^{p(c-1)} y_t b_{0,r-t}(r)$.

We can do the same thing for $b_{0,r'-i}(r')$, and it is easily checked that we get the same formula as for $b_{0,r-i}(r)$, that is: $b_{0,r'-i}(r') = \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} x_j b_{j,\infty}(r') + \sum_{t=c}^{p(c-1)} y_t b_{0,r'-t}(r')$ with the same coefficients x_j and y_t. Now it suffices to use Lemma 9.2.11 to conclude. □

9.2.3. *Action of T.* Let c be an integer with $c \leq r/(p+1)$ and let d be an integer with $c \geq d$.

Proposition 9.2.13. *Let $f \in I(M_r(R))$. Suppose that $r' \geq r$ and $r' \equiv r \mod (p-1)p^{d+\lfloor \log_p(c) \rfloor}$. Then $\pi_{r',c}(T-a_p)\psi_{r,r',c}\pi_{r,c}(f)$ is equal to $\psi_{r,r',c}\pi_{r,c}(T-a_p)f$ modulo p^d.*

Proof. It is enough to prove this for $a_p = 0$, as the equality for the part with $-a_p f$ is clear. By linearity it is enough to prove it for f of the form $[g^{\varepsilon}_{n,\mu}, b_{t,i}]$ for some $t \leq c$, and $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ or $i = \infty$.

We do the proof for the $g^0_{n,\mu}$, the case of $g^1_{n,\mu}$ is similar. Recall that explicit formulas for T^+ and T^- are given in Paragraph 7.1. We fix $g^0_{n,\mu}$. Recall from the notations of Paragraph 7.1 that we write $\mu = \underline{\mu} + p^{n-1}\mu'$ with $\underline{\mu} \in I_{n-1}$. For each $f \in M_r$ and $u \in I_1$, let $P_{r,u}(f)$ be the polynomials such that $T^+[g^0_{n,\mu}, f] = \sum_{u \in I_1}[g^0_{n,\mu}g^0_{1,u}, P_{r,u}(f)]$, and $P_{r,-}(f)$ be the polynomial such that $T^-[g^0_{n,\mu}, f] = [g^0_{n-1,\underline{\mu}}, P_{r,-}(f)]$ (or $T^-[g^0_{0,0}, f] = [g^1_{0,0}, P_{r,-}(f)]$). Note that $P_{r,-}$ depends on $g^0_{n,\mu}$, but $P_{r,u}$ does not. We also define analogous polynomials for $f \in M_{r'}$.

So what we have to do is: check that for all $t, n < c$ and $i, j \in \{0, \dots, p-1, \infty\}$, for all $u \in I_1 \cup \{-\}$, we have $\lambda_r(P_{r,u}(b_{t,i}(r)); n, j) \equiv \lambda_{r'}(P_{r',u}(b_{t,i}(r')); n, j) \mod p^d$.

Start with for $b_{t,i}(r)$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. We have $b_{t,i} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{t} \binom{t}{\ell}(-1)^\ell b_{0,t+i+\ell(p-1)}$

Let us treat the case of $u \in I_1$. We notice that $P_{r,u}(b_{t,i}(r))$ and $P_{r',u}(b_{t,i}(r'))$ can both be written as $\sum_{m \geq 0} p^m a_m b_{0,m}$ (with the same $a_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ for r and r'). As we are computing modulo p^d, with $d < c$, we get that $\lambda_r(P_{r,u}(b_{t,i}(r)); n, j) \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} p^m a_m \lambda_r(0, m; n, j) \mod p^d$ and the same for r'. Then we can make use of Lemma 9.2.7 to conclude.

Consider now what happens with $P_{r,-}$ and $P_{r',-}$. We note that $P_{r,-}(b_{0,n}(r))$ is a multiple of p^{r-n}, so if $n \leq r-d$ then $P_{r,-}(b_{0,n}(r))$ disappears modulo p^d. But in $b_{t,i}(r)$, the largest n such that $b_{0,n}(r)$ appears is $n = i + pt < cp \leq r-d$, so $P_{r,-}(b_{t,i}(r)) \equiv 0 \mod p^d$. By the same reasoning, we have $P_{r',-}(b_{t,i}(r')) \equiv 0 \mod p^d$.

Consider now $b_{t,\infty}(r) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{t} \binom{t}{\ell}(-1)^\ell b_{0,r-tp+\ell(p-1)}$ and $b_{t,\infty}(r') = \sum_{\ell=0}^{t} \binom{t}{\ell}(-1)^\ell b_{0,r'-tp+\ell(p-1)}$. We have that $P_{r,u}(b_{t,\infty}(r)) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{t} \binom{t}{\ell}(-1)^\ell P_{r,u}(b_{0,r-tp+\ell(p-1)})$.

Let us treat the case of $u \in I_1$. The coefficient of $b_{0,j}(r)$ in $P_{r,u}(b_{t,\infty}(r))$ is

$$p^j \sum_{\ell=0}^{t} \binom{t}{\ell}(-1)^\ell \binom{r-tp+\ell(p-1)}{j}(-u)^{r-tp+\ell(p-1)-j}$$

and the formula for the coefficient of $b_{0,j}(r')$ in $P_{r',u}(b_{t,\infty}(r'))$ is the same with r replaced by r'. Let us show that they are congruent modulo p^d (we are only interested in the case where $j < d$): in both cases, the $-u$ part is elevated to powers that are congruent modulo $p-1$ (and always non-zero), as $r' \equiv r \mod p-1$, so $(-u)^{r-tp+\ell(p-1)-j} = (-u)^{r'-tp+\ell(p-1)-j}$. We also have that $\binom{r-tp+\ell(p-1)}{j} \equiv \binom{r'-tp+\ell(p-1)}{j} \mod p^d$ by Lemma 9.2.4.

Consider now what happens when $u = -$. We see that $P_{r,-}(b_{0,r-tp+\ell(p-1)})$ is divisible by $p^{tp-\ell(p-1)}$, and the same for r', so we are only interested in the terms for which $tp - \ell(p-1) < d$.

Suppose first that either $(n, \mu) = (0, 0)$ (so $g^0_{n,\mu} = 1$) or $\mu' = 0$, then $P_{r,-}(b_{0,r-i}(r)) = p^i b_{0,r-i}(r)$. So we can apply Lemma 9.2.12, as we consider only i that are $< d \leq c$.

Suppose now that $\mu' \neq 0$, so $P_{r,-}(b_{0,i}(r)) = p^{r-i}\sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{i}{j}\mu'^{i-j} b_{0,j}(r)$. In particular $P_{r,-}(b_{0,r-s}(r)) = p^s \sum_{j=0}^{r-s} \binom{r-s}{j}\mu'^j b_{0,r-s-j}(r)$ and we will apply this to $s = tp - \ell(p-1) < d$.

We split $P_{r,-}(b_{0,r-s}(r))$ in two parts: one with the $b_{0,r-s-j}(r)$ with $s + j < c$, and one with the $b_{0,r-s-j}(r)$ with $s + j \geq c$. We can apply Lemma 9.2.12 to the first part, using the fact that $\binom{r-s}{j} \equiv \binom{r'-s}{j} \mod p^d$ by Lemma 9.2.4. Consider now the second part. We want to apply Lemma 9.2.10 to it. For this, we have to check that the sums $p^s \sum_{r-s-j \equiv a \mod p-1, c \leq r-s-j \leq r-c} \binom{r-s-j}{\ell}\binom{r-s}{j}\mu'^j$ and $p^s \sum_{r'-s-j \equiv a \mod p-1, c \leq r'-s-j \leq r-c} \binom{r'-s-j}{\ell}\binom{r'-s}{j}\mu'^j$ are congruent modulo p^d for all $a \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}$ and $0 \leq \ell < c$. Denote these sums by $\Sigma_{r,s,a,\ell}$ and $\Sigma_{r',s,a,\ell}$ respectively. Then we can write $\Sigma_{r,s,a,\ell} =$

$p^s {\mu'}^a \sum_{c\leq i\leq r-c, i\equiv r-s-a \mod p-1} \binom{i}{\ell}\binom{r-s}{i}$, and similarly for $\Sigma_{r',s,a,\ell}$. So we can conclude by Lemma 9.2.5. □

9.2.4. *Proof of Theorem 9.2.1.* Let k, a_p, δ, and c be as in the statement of Theorem 9.2.1. Let d be the smallest integer $> \delta$, that is, $d = 1 + \lfloor \delta \rfloor$. Let $r = k-2$, $k' > k$ with $k' \equiv k \mod (p-1)p^{d+\lfloor \log_p(c)\rfloor}$ and $r' = k'-2$. By hypothesis we have $d \leq c$ and $c \leq r/(p+1)$ and so the results of Paragraph 9.2.3 apply.

Let V be a subspace of M_r. We denote by $I(V)$ the subspace of $I(M_r)$ generated by all elements of the form $[g^{\varepsilon}_{n,\mu}, v]$ for $v \in V$. In general it is not a subrepresentation of $I(M_r)$.

Recall that in order to compute $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$, we have introduced the standard filtration $(V_i(r))_i$ of $M_r(E)$ defined in Section 5. The computations give us information about the image of $I(J_i(r))$ inside $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$, where $J_i(r) = V_i(r)/V_{i+1}(r)$. Using Corollary 5.5.2, we see that we need only to study $I(J_i(r))$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2\lfloor v_p(a_p)\rfloor + 1$. Note also that $M_r^c = V_{2c}(r)$, so for all i in this range, we have $M_r^c \subset V_{i+1}(r)$, and $\pi_{r,c}(V_i(r)) \subset V_i(r)$. In particular, the image of an element of $I(V_i(r))$ in $I(J_i(r))$ depends only on is projection to $I(M_{r,c})$.

All the similar statements hold for r'. Note also that for all i in the interesting range, $J_i(r)$ and $J_i(r')$ are isomorphic as $r \equiv r' \mod p-1$. We fix the isomorphism given by the bases described in Paragraph 5.4. Moreover, for all $x \in M_{r,c} \cap V_i(r)$, the image of $x \in J_i(r)$ and the image of $\psi_{r,r',c}(c)$ in $J_i(r')$ correspond by this isomorphism.

Let $i \leq 2\lfloor v_p(a_p)\rfloor + 1$. The information we have found about $I(J_i(r))$ has the following form: we have an element $\phi_i \in I(M_r(\mathcal{K}))$, such that $(T-a_p)\phi_i$ is integral. Let u_i be the image of $(T-a_p)\phi_i$ in $I(M_r(E))$. Then $u_i \in I(V_i(r))$, and its image in $I(J_i(r))$ is equal to v_i or $w_{i,1} - \lambda_i v_i$ or $w_{i,2} - \mu_i w_{i,1} + v_i$ with the notations of Paragraph 4.2.

The hypothesis about the denominators means that we can take ϕ_i to be of the form $p^{-\delta}\psi_i$ with ψ_i integral.

Our goal is now to find elements $\phi_i' \in I(M_{r'}(\mathcal{K}))$ such that $(T-a_p)\phi_i'$ is integral and has its reduction u_i' modulo p in $I(V_i(r'))$, with the same image as u_i in $I(J_i(r'))$ (via the isomorphism between $J_i(r)$ and $J_i(r')$). Indeed, this will mean that for any information that we have about the image of $I(J_i(r))$, we have the same information about $I(J_i(r'))$, so we can compute $\overline{\Theta}_{k',a_p}$ from this information if we can compute $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$, and they are the same.

We claim that we can take ϕ_i' of the form $\psi_{r,r',c}(\pi_{r,c}(\phi_i)) + y_i$ with $y_i \in I(M_{r'}^c(\mathcal{K}))$. Denote $\psi_{r,r',c}(\pi_{r,c}(\phi_i))$ by $\tilde{\phi}_i$.

Let $z_i = \pi_{r,c}(\psi_i) - \psi_i$, so that $(T-a_p)\pi_{r,c}(\psi_i) = (T-a_p)\psi_i + (T-a_p)z_i$. Note that $z_i \in I(M_r^c)$. By Lemma 5.5.1, using the fact that $c > \delta$, we get that $Tp^{-\delta}z_i$ is integral and reduces to zero modulo p. As $\pi_{r,c}(-a_p z_i) = 0$, we get that $\pi_{r,c}(T-a_p)\pi_{r,c}(\phi_i)$ is integral, and has the same image as u_i in $I(J_i(r))$. We write $\tilde{u}_i$ for the reduction modulo p of $\pi_{r,c}(T-a_p)\pi_{r,c}(\phi_i)$.

By the results of Paragraph 9.2.3, we get that $\pi_{r',c}((T-a_p)\tilde{\phi}_i)$ is integral and reduces to $\psi_{r,r',c}(\tilde{u}_i)$ modulo p. Let $z_i' = (T-a_p)\tilde{\phi}_i - \pi_{r',c}(T-a_p)\tilde{\phi}_i$. Then z_i' is in $p^{-\delta}I(M_{r'}^c)$, as $\tilde{\phi}_i$ is in $p^{-\delta}I(M_{r'}(R))$. So we can find y_i such that $(T-a_p)y_i - z_i'$ in integral and reduces to zero modulo p. Indeed, it is enough to show that we can do this for z_i' for the form $p^{-\delta}[g, \theta^c f]$ for some integral f. But then $y_i = -(p^{-\delta}/a_p)[g, \theta^c f]$ works, as $v_p(p^\delta a_p) < c$. Consider now $\phi_i' = \tilde{\phi}_i + y_i$, then $(T-a_p)\phi_i'$ is integral and has the same reduction modulo p as $\pi_{r',c}(T-a_p)\tilde{\phi}_i$, that is it reduces to $\psi_{r,r',c}(\tilde{u}_i)$ modulo p. So the image of the reduction modulo p of $(T-a_p)\phi_i'$ in $I(J_i(r'))$ is the same as the image of $(T-a_p)\phi_i$ in $I(J_i(r))$, which is what we wanted.

10. Examples

We give here some examples of reductions computed thanks to our implementation in SAGE ([15]) of the algorithm, which can be found at [18]. We also give some guess as to the value of some reductions: based on the computations, we try to give the simplest possible description of the locus of a_p's with a given reduction that is compatible with the computed data. But we do not have a proof that these simplest possible description is actually the correct one (as it would require a infinite number of computations to be checked).

The tables give the value of p; k; a_p; the steps F_i of the filtration as described in Section 4 that can be non-zero, and their value; the semi-simplification of the reduction; the largest elementary divisor δ that appeared in the computation that led to the result (so we get a radius for the local constancy result

of Corollary 9.1.2, and, when applicable, a radius for the local constancy result of Corollary 9.2.2); and finally the value of n that allowed to determine the reduction.

10.1. **Examples in slope 1.** The case where $v(a_p) = 1$ has been studied in [9] for $p > 3$. In that article, a complete description of the reduction is given for $p \geq 5$, except in the case where k is equal to 4 modulo $p(p-1)$ and $v(a_p^2 - \binom{k-2}{2}p^2) > 2$. We give some results for this case, for a_p^2 close to $p\binom{k-2}{2}$. We recall that in the case where k is equal to 4 modulo $p(p-1)$ and $v(a_p^2 - \binom{k-2}{2}p^2) = 2$ then $\overline{V}_{k,a_p}$ is irreducible, isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^3$, and more precisely $\overline{\Theta}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $\pi(p-3, 0, \omega^2)$, which is the F_0-part of the filtration as described in Sections 4 and 5 (beware that the numerotation of the filtration is not the same in this article and in [9]).

Our guess as to the general form of the reduction in this case is as follows: Suppose that $p > 3$, k is equal to 4 modulo $p(p-1)$, and $k > 2$. There exists an integer $i(k) > 1$ such that:

- if $v(a_p) = 1$ and $v(a_p^2 - \binom{k-2}{2}p^2) < i(k)$ then $\overline{V}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^3$.
- if $v(a_p) = 1$ and $v(a_p^2 - \binom{k-2}{2}p^2) = i(k)$ then $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(\lambda) \oplus \omega\operatorname{unr}(\lambda^{-1})$ for some $\lambda \in E^\times$.
- if $v(a_p) = 1$ and $v(a_p^2 - \binom{k-2}{2}p^2) > i(k)$ then $\overline{V}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{p+2}$.

Note that the reduction for $v(a_p) = 1$ and k is equal to 4 modulo $(p-1)$ is necessarily one of these three possibilities, as follows from the results of [9], so it remains only to understand what is the locus corresponding to each possibility.

p	k	a_p	non-zero F_is	$\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$	δ	n
5	24	$2\cdot 5$	F_0: quotient of $I(\sigma_2(2))$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^3$	2	2
5	24	$5\sqrt{11\cdot 21}$	F_3: $\pi(4,0,\omega)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^7$	3	3
5	24	$5\sqrt{11\cdot 21}+5^2$	F_3: $\pi(4,4,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(2,4,\omega^2)$	$\omega\operatorname{unr}(-1)\oplus\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(-1)$	3	3
5	24	$5\sqrt{11\cdot 21}+2\cdot 5^2$	F_3: $\pi(4,3,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(2,2,\omega^2)$	$\omega\operatorname{unr}(2)\oplus\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(3)$	3	3
5	24	$5\sqrt{11\cdot 21}+3\cdot 5^2$	F_3: $\pi(4,2,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(2,3,\omega^2)$	$\omega\operatorname{unr}(3)\oplus\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(2)$	3	3
5	24	$5\sqrt{11\cdot 21}+4\cdot 5^2$	F_3: $\pi(4,1,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(2,1,\omega^2)$	$\omega\oplus\omega^2$	3	3
5	44	$5\sqrt{21\cdot 41}$	F_3: $\pi(4,0,\omega)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^7$	3	3
5	44	$5\sqrt{21\cdot 41}+5^2$	F_3: $\pi(4,2,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(2,3,\omega^2)$	$\omega\operatorname{unr}(3)\oplus\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(2)$	3	3
5	44	$5\sqrt{21\cdot 41}+2\cdot 5^2$	F_3: $\pi(4,4,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(2,4,\omega^2)$	$\omega\operatorname{unr}(4)\oplus\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(4)$	3	3
5	44	$5\sqrt{21\cdot 41}+3\cdot 5^2$	F_3: $\pi(4,1,\omega)$ and F_2: $\pi(0,1,\omega)$ andF_0: $\pi(2,1,\omega^2)$	$\omega\oplus\omega^2$	3	3
5	44	$5\sqrt{21\cdot 41}+4\cdot 5^2$	F_3: $\pi(4,3,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(2,2,\omega^2)$	$\omega\operatorname{unr}(2)\oplus\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(3)$	3	3
5	104	$5\sqrt{51\cdot 101}$	F_3: quotient of $I(\sigma_4(1))$ and F_2: quotient of $\pi(0,0,\omega)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^7$	3	4
5	104	$5\sqrt{51\cdot 101}+5^3$	F_3: $\pi(4,4,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(2,4,\omega^2)$	$\omega\operatorname{unr}(4)\oplus\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(4)$	4	4

10.2. **Examples in slope 2.** Based on the results in slope 1, we can expect that when $v(a_p) = 2$ then in most cases the reduction is reducible, of the form $\omega^{k-3}\operatorname{unr}(\lambda)\oplus\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(\lambda^{-1})$ for some $\lambda \in E^\times$. Computations with small values of p ($p \leq 7$) makes it difficult to see if this case is indeed the most frequent, and in our examples we have found many different types of reduction.

We give one example where the form of the reduction is particularly complicated and several different types of reduction appear for the same p and k. We take $p = 7$ and $k = 48$, then our computations are compatible with the following description:

- If $v(a_7 - 7^2 - 5\cdot 7^3)) = 2$ and $v(a_7 + 7^2 + 5\cdot 7^3) = 2$ then $\overline{V}^{ss}_{48,a_7}$ is isomorphic to $\omega^4\operatorname{unr}(\lambda)\oplus\omega\operatorname{unr}(\lambda^{-1})$ for some $\lambda \in E^\times$.
- If $2 < v(a_7 - 7^2 - 5\cdot 7^3) < 3$ or $2 < v(a_7 + 7^2 + 5\cdot 7^3) < 3$ then $\overline{V}^{ss}_{48,a_7}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{11}$.
- If $v(a_7 - 7^2 - 5\cdot 7^3) = 3$ or $v(a_7 + 7^2 + 5\cdot 7^3) = 3$ then $\overline{V}^{ss}_{48,a_7}$ is isomorphic to $\omega^3\operatorname{unr}(\lambda)\oplus\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(\lambda^{-1})$ for some $\lambda \in E^\times$.
- If $v(a_7 - 7^2 - 5\cdot 7^3) > 3$ or $v(a_7 + 7^2 + 5\cdot 7^3) > 3$ then $\overline{V}^{ss}_{48,a_7}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{17}$.

10.3. **Examples in slope 3/2.** To describe the reduction modulo p in this case, we introduce a notation: let $I_{n,c}$ be the representation $\overline{\rho}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with restriction to inertia isomorphic to $\omega^n \oplus \omega^n$, with determinant equal to ω^{2n}, and such that the Frobenius acting on $\omega^{-n}\overline{\rho}$ has trace c.

The case where $1 < v(a_p) < 2$ was computed for $p > 2$ in [8], except for the special case where $k = 5 \mod p-1$ and $v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) > 3$. We give some examples of what happens in this special situation.

10.3.1. *First case.* The first case is a situation that looks very similar to what happens in slope $1/2$ for $k = 3 \mod (p-1)$, as described in [13]. Our guess is that when p does not divise $k-5$, then the only step of the filtration that is non-zero is F_2, and we have a rational number $i(k)$ such that:

- if $1 < v(a_p) < 2$ and $v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) < i(k)$ then $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $\pi(p-2, 0, \omega^2)$, and so $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{1+3p}$.
- if $1 < v(a_p) < 2$ and $v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) = i(k)$ then $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $I(\sigma_{p-2}(2)/(T^2 - cT + 1)$ for some $c \in E^\times$, that is, $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $I_{2,c}$.
- if $1 < v(a_p) < 2$ and $v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) > i(k)$ then $\overline{\Theta}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $I(\sigma_{p-2}(2))/(T^2+1)$, that is, $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $I_{2,0}$.

Note that is was proved in [8] that the reduction has one of the listed forms, so it remains only to understand the locus where each reduction occurs.

p	k	a_p	non-zero F_is	$\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$	δ	n
5	17	$5\sqrt{5}\cdot 13\sqrt{7}$	F_2: $I(\sigma_3(2))/(T^2+1)$	$I_{2,0}$	5/2	3
5	17	$5\sqrt{5}\cdot 13\sqrt{7}+5^2$	F_2: $I(\sigma_3(2))/(T^2-4T+1)$	$I_{2,4}$	5/2	3
5	17	$5\sqrt{5}\cdot 13\sqrt{7}+2\cdot 5^2$	F_2: $I(\sigma_3(2))/(T^2-3T+1)$	$I_{2,3}$	5/2	3
5	17	$5\sqrt{5}\cdot 13\sqrt{7}+3\cdot 5^2$	F_2: $I(\sigma_3(2))/(T^2-2T+1)$	$I_{2,2}$	5/2	3
5	17	$5\sqrt{5}\cdot 13\sqrt{7}+4\cdot 5^2$	F_2: $I(\sigma_3(2))/(T^2-T+1)$	$I_{2,1}$	5/2	3

10.3.2. *Second case.* The previous situation can not happen when p divides $k-5$, as in this case the results of [8] show that when $v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) = 3$ the reduction is equal to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^4$, not $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{1+3p}$. So we can expect the situation to be a little different here. Our guess is that that we have two rational numbers $i(k) < j(k)$ such that:

- if $1 < v(a_p) < 2$ and $v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) < i(k)$ then $\overline{V}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^4$.
- if $1 < v(a_p) < 2$ and $v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) = i(k)$ then $\overline{V}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $\omega^3\operatorname{unr}(\lambda) \oplus \omega\operatorname{unr}(\lambda^{-1})$ for some $\lambda \in E^\times$.
- if $1 < v(a_p) < 2$ and $i(k) < v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) < j(k)$ then $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{1+3p}$
- if $1 < v(a_p) < 2$ and $v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) = j(k)$ then $\overline{V}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $I_{2,c}$ for some $c \in E^\times$.
- if $1 < v(a_p) < 2$ and $v(a_p^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}p^3) > j(k)$ then $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$ is isomorphic to $I_{2,0}$.

p	k	a_p	non-zero F_is	$\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$	δ	n
5	25	$5\sqrt{5}\cdot 21\sqrt{11}$	F_2: $I(\sigma_3(2))/(T^2+1)$	$I_{2,0}$	7/2	3
5	25	$5\sqrt{5}\cdot 21\sqrt{11}+5^3$	F_2: $I(\sigma_3(2))/(T^2-T+1)$	$I_{2,1}$	7/2	3
5	25	$5\sqrt{5}\cdot 21\sqrt{11}+5^2\sqrt{5}\sqrt{11}$	F_2: $\pi(3,0,\omega^2)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{p+3}$	7/2	3
5	25	$5\sqrt{5}\cdot 21\sqrt{11}+5^2$	F_3: $\pi(1,1,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(1,1,\omega^3)$	$\omega^3\oplus\omega$	7/2	3
7	47	$7\sqrt{7}\cdot 43\sqrt{22}$	F_2: $I(\sigma_5(2))/(T^2+1)$	$I_{2,0}$	7/2	3
7	47	$7\sqrt{7}\cdot 43\sqrt{22}+7^3$	F_2: $I(\sigma_5(2))/(T^2-3T+1)$	$I_{2,3}$	7/2	3
7	47	$7\sqrt{7}\cdot 43\sqrt{22}+7^2\sqrt{7}\sqrt{22}$	F_2: $\pi(5,0,\omega^2)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{22}$	7/2	3
7	47	$7\sqrt{7}\cdot 43\sqrt{22}+7^2$	F_3: $\pi(1,6,\omega)$ and F_0: $\pi(3,6,\omega^3)$	$\omega^3\operatorname{unr}(-1)\oplus\omega\operatorname{unr}(-1)$	7/2	3

10.3.3. *Third case.* The third case can happen only when $p = 3$ and k is odd and 3^2 divides $k-5$. In this case the results of [8] show that when $v(a_3^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}3^3) = 3$ the reduction is equal to $I_{1,0}$.

Our guess is that that we have two rational numbers $i(k) < j(k)$ such that:

- if $1 < v(a_3) < 2$ and $v(a_3^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}3^3) < i(k)$ then $\overline{V}_{k,a_3}$ is isomorphic to $I_{1,0}$.
- if $1 < v(a_3) < 2$ and $v(a_3^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}3^3) = i(k)$ then $\overline{V}_{k,a_3}$ is isomorphic to $I_{1,c}$ for some $c \in E^\times$.
- if $1 < v(a_3) < 2$ and $i(k) < v(a_3^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}3^3) < j(k)$ then $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_3}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^2$
- if $1 < v(a_3) < 2$ and $v(a_3^2 - (k-4)^2\frac{k-3}{2}3^3) = j(k)$ then $\overline{V}_{k,a_3}$ is isomorphic to $I_{0,c}$ for some $c \in E^\times$.

- if $1 < v(a_3) < 2$ and $v(a_3^2 - (k-4)^2 \frac{k-3}{2} 3^3) > j(k)$ then $\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_3}$ is isomorphic to $I_{0,0}$.

p	k	a_p	non-zero F_is	$\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$	δ	n
3	23	$3\sqrt{30}\cdot 19$	F_2: $I(\sigma_1)/(T^2+1)$	$I_{0,0}$	7/2	4
3	23	$3\sqrt{30}\cdot 19 + 3^4$	F_2: $I(\sigma_1)/(T^2-2T+1)$	$I_{0,2}$	9/2	6
3	23	$3\sqrt{30}\cdot 19 + 3^3$	F_2: $\pi(1,0,1)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^2$	7/2	4
3	23	$3\sqrt{30}\cdot 19 + 3^2$	F_3: $I(\sigma_1(1))/(T^2-2T+1)$	$I_{1,2}$	7/2	3

10.4. **Examples in slope** 5/2**.** We can expect that this case behaves like the cases of slope 1/2 and 3/2, that is: for some values of k modulo $p-1$, the reduction depends on the valuation of $a_p^2 - f(k)p^5$ for some integer $f(k)$.

We can find examples behaving in a way similar to 10.3.1:

p	k	a_p	non-zero F_is	$\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$	δ	n
7	31	$7^2\sqrt{7}.44$	F_4: $I(\sigma_5(3))/(T^2+1)$	$I_{3,0}$	7/2	3
7	31	$7^2\sqrt{7}.44 + 7^3$	F_4: $I(\sigma_5(3))/(T^2-4T+1)$	$I_{3,4}$	7/2	3
7	31	$7^2\sqrt{7}$	F_4: $\pi(5,0,\omega^3)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{30}$	3	2
7	31	$7^2\sqrt[3]{7}$	F_4: $\pi(5,0,\omega^3)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{30}$	8/3	2

We can also find examples behaving in a way similar to 10.3.2:

p	k	a_p	non-zero F_is	$\overline{V}^{ss}_{k,a_p}$	δ	n
5	27	$5^2\sqrt{5}.51$	F_4: $I(\sigma_3(3))/(T^2+1)$	$I_{3,0}$	9/2	3
5	27	$5^2\sqrt{5}.51 + 5^4$	F_4: $I(\sigma_3(3))/(T^2-T+1)$	$I_{3,1}$	9/2	3
5	27	$5^2\sqrt{5}.51 + 5^3\sqrt{5}$	F_4: $\pi(3,0,\omega^3)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^{22}$	9/2	3
5	27	$5^2\sqrt{5}.51 + 5^3$	F_5: $\pi(1,1,\omega^2)$ and F_2: $\pi(1,1,1)$	$\omega^2 \oplus 1$	9/2	3
5	27	$5^2\sqrt{5}.51 + 2\cdot 5^3$	F_5: $\pi(1,2,\omega^2)$ and F_2: $\pi(1,3,1)$	$\omega^2\operatorname{unr}(3) \oplus \operatorname{unr}(2)$	9/2	3
5	27	$5^2\sqrt{10}$	F_2: $\pi(1,0,1)$	$\operatorname{ind}\omega_2^8$	4	3

An interesting result of [8] is also that for some values of k, we have a reducible reduction (of the form $I_{1,0}$) for all a_p with $1 < v(a_p) < 2$. This phenomenon seems to happen also for $2 < v(a_p) < 3$. For example when $p = 3$ and $k = 17$ all the examples we have computed with $2 < v(a_3) < 3$ give a reduction isomorphic to $I_{1,0}$.

References

[1] Bodan Arsovski. Reduction modulo p of two-dimensional crystalline representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of slope less than three. Preprint, 2015.

[2] L. Barthel and R. Livné. Irreducible modular representations of GL_2 of a local field. *Duke Math. J.*, 75(2):261–292, 1994.

[3] L. Barthel and R. Livné. Modular representations of GL_2 of a local field: the ordinary, unramified case. *J. Number Theory*, 55(1):1–27, 1995.

[4] Laurent Berger. Représentations modulaires de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ et représentations galoisiennes de dimension 2. *Astérisque*, (330):263–279, 2010.

[5] Laurent Berger. Local constancy for the reduction mod p of 2-dimensional crystalline representations. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 44(3):451–459, 2012.

[6] Laurent Berger and Christophe Breuil. Sur quelques représentations potentiellement cristallines de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. *Astérisque*, (330):155–211, 2010.

[7] Laurent Berger, Hanfeng Li, and Hui June Zhu. Construction of some families of 2-dimensional crystalline representations. *Math. Ann.*, 329(2):365–377, 2004.

[8] Shalini Bhattacharya and Eknath Ghate. Reductions of Galois representations for slopes in $(1,2)$. *Doc. Math.*, 20:943–987, 2015.

[9] Shalini Bhattacharya, Eknath Ghate, and Sandra Rozensztajn. Reductions of Galois representations of slope 1. arXiv:1504.03838, 2015.

[10] Christophe Breuil. Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p-adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. I. *Compositio Math.*, 138(2):165–188, 2003.

[11] Christophe Breuil. Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p-adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. II. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 2(1):23–58, 2003.

[12] Kevin Buzzard and Toby Gee. Explicit reduction modulo p of certain two-dimensional crystalline representations. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (12):2303–2317, 2009.

[13] Kevin Buzzard and Toby Gee. Explicit reduction modulo p of certain 2-dimensional crystalline representations, II. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 45(4):779–788, 2013.

[14] Xavier Caruso and David Lubicz. Semi-simplifiée modulo p des représentations semi-stables: une approche algorithmique. Preprint, 2013.

[15] The Sage Developers. *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 7.0)*, 2016. `http://www.sagemath.org`.
[16] Abhik Ganguli and Eknath Ghate. Reductions of Galois representations via the mod p local Langlands correspondence. *J. Number Theory*, 147:250–286, 2015.
[17] D. J. Glover. A study of certain modular representations. *J. Algebra*, 51(2):425–475, 1978.
[18] Sandra Rozensztajn. Script available at http://perso.ens-lyon.fr/sandra.rozensztajn/software/.
[19] P. Schneider and U. Stuhler. The cohomology of p-adic symmetric spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 105(1):47–122, 1991.

UMPA, ÉNS de Lyon, UMR 5669 du CNRS, 46, allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France
E-mail address: `sandra.rozensztajn@ens-lyon.fr`